

# MORAL DISCOURSE AND ETHICAL AGENCY: AN ANALYSIS OF LANGUAGE TECHNIQUES IN JANE AUSTEN'S PRIDE AND PREJUDICE.”

K. Prathaayini<sup>1</sup>, R. Karthick Babu<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirappalli, India

Email: pratha.vaish19@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirappalli, India

Email: Karthickbabu.hrd@dsuniversity.ac.in

**Corresponding Author:** R. Karthick Babu ( Karthickbabu.hrd@dsuniversity.ac.in)

**Abstract:** - Language plays a pivotal role in any kind of literature that too in terms of intersection of language and literature is very interesting to discuss upon. The language and literature go hand in hand with each other. This article will elaborate on the linguistic wordplay enabled by Jane Austen in her famous novel *Pride and Prejudice* which initially had its name as “First Impressions”. This is a classic novel written in the age of regency. The analysis of the romance novel *pride and prejudice*'s pragmatic structure and how the language adds essence to her novel forms the heart of this article. The contextual meaning through the language is focused with the novel establishments. There is no instance that Austen has straightly pointed meaning in few words it's always the roundabout way of narrating the context to the readers. The essence of language and the importance it has in a novel, language analysis and exploration in the text is focused. The literary positioning of *Pride and Prejudice* is traced...

**Keywords:** Pragmatic structure, Linguistic wordplay, Syntactic valuation, configurations, artistic touch, functional, commentary, realization, Syntax.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Language exploration in fiction involves several analyses and a deeper scrutiny of the text. It acts as a medium through which the author can express his feelings and emotions, especially through characters. This article will focus on the pragmatic construction in the novel *Pride and Prejudice*. It's a classic novel by Jane Austen, written in the eighteenth century. Austen has a high regard for the characters she uses and portrays in her novel. The linguistic value this timeless classic has undergone will serve as the hypothesis for this article. Jane Austen's writing is subtle but carries strong social commentary; she aims to create a sense of uniqueness in her dialogues.

Austen's writing style has evolved over time, allowing readers to dive deeper into the context of the characters' emotions and thoughts. She uses indirect free discourse as her technique to address; the author's artistic touch merges the narrator's voice with the character's inner feelings. Dialogues, as the focal point in the novel, uplift the story to the next level. The plot configurations mainly move based on the cleverly constructed dialogues. The importance of dialogue and her wordplay, with its sarcasm, make the novel unique and fit for the current generation, making it a timeless classic. Most of the dialogues here are analysed to the point of providing syntactic valuation. The contribution of this timeless classic to the literary world and society is enormous and crucial.



## 2. HYPOTHESIS

Austen's free indirect discourse (FID) and pragmatic implicatures in *Pride and Prejudice* cultivate ethical agency by embedding moral implicatures within syntactic irony and contextual ambiguity, enabling characters' virtue development (e.g., Elizabeth's shift from prejudice to discernment) and prompting readers' empathetic moral judgment—thus transforming linguistic form into a vehicle for Regency virtue ethics. This will be tested via close discourse analysis of key passages, quantifying implicature density (e.g., hedges/boosters) against moral turning points.

## 3. LITERATURE REVIEW:

Pragmatics dominates 2020s Austen studies, viewing *Pride and Prejudice* as a lab for Gricean maxims amid Regency politeness rituals. Jucker and Locher (2017/2022 reprint) define fictional pragmatics as implicature between narrator, character, and reader, with Austen's dialogues flouting quantity for moral revelation (e.g., Darcy's "tolerable" slight).

Wang (2022) quantifies "literary language appreciation," finding 65% of Elizabeth-Darcy exchanges employ approbation maxims to mask prejudice, fostering reader inference of virtue gaps. Similarly, Dwipantara (2025) analyzes Darcy's proposal implicatures, where hedges ("in vain have I struggled") signal ethical vulnerability, contrasting Mrs. Bennet's flouts for comic hypocrisy. Gendered speech emerges: Kori (2024) in *International Journal of English Studies* logs female hedging (Elizabeth: 40% modals) versus male assertions, tying to agency under patriarchy.

Corpus-driven advances shine: Scirp (2023) tallies 150 implicatures, 70% ironic, driving plot via moral misfires (Lydia's elopement). UniWriter (2025) on deixis ("your" vs. "my") maps class ethics, with Darcy's shift from distal to proximal pronouns marking agency growth. Yet, these overlook syntactic ties to ethics, treating implicature statically.

FID—Austen's hallmark—merges third-person narration with character psyche, sans tags ("she thought"), enabling ethical intimacy. Kareem (2017/2024 reprint) dissects Pemberley scenes: "His valuable qualities... ceased to be repugnant" blends Elizabeth's thoughts with narrator irony, evoking Bakhtinian dialogism for moral flux.

JALT (2023) explores FID's "thematic significance," arguing it individuates voices (Elizabeth's wit vs. Collins's pomposity), heightening ethical contrast. White-Smith (2015/2022) in *Persuasions* discerns FID "Austen said" modes, where syntactic fusion ("How despicably I have acted!") prompts reader sympathy sans false empathy. In the recent analysis, ODU (2025) compares Austen-Eliot FID for agency, quantifying thought-speech ratios (P&P: 55% FID-dominant). WReview (2023) linguistically models FID as "speakable written language," with deictic shifts (past tense + present feelings) simulating moral deliberation. Hayloock-Taylor (2022) counters Fletcher/Benveniste's "social tool" view, insisting FID's ethics lie in individuated sensibility, not public utility—Elizabeth's arc

Digital stylistics emerges: *Inference Journal* (2024) timelines FID evolution, linking to Regency epistolarity for interior ethics. Limitations persist: few integrate pragmatics, e.g., FID-implicature hybrids. Austen's irony—syntactic, verbal—amplifies moral discourse. LitCharts (2024) catalogs devices: balanced sentences satirize entailment (Mr. Bennet: "nerves... old friends"). Nebo-Lit (2024) dissects "tolerable fortune" for contextual ambiguity, questioning ethical "tolerability."

IJOES (2025) on irony-interiority unveils Hunsford descriptions as syntactic praise veiling moral vacancy (Collins's "minuteness"). *Academia Pakistan* (2025) stylistically maps social dynamics, with vocabulary gradients (lavish Pemberley vs. odious Collins) encoding virtue hierarchies. Tripathi (2024) redefines heroines via irony, Elizabeth's "bewitched" rejection asserting ethical autonomy. SJSU handbook (2025 analog) praises Austen's syntax for reader visualization, molding moral empathy.

Recasting Austen philosophically, 2020s ethics readings proliferate. Planksip (2024) posits morality's role: language as ethical compass, Darcy's generosity implicature shattering prejudice. Oxford (2024 systematic review) frames moral experiments, paralleling Austen's reader priming for virtue. *Philosophy Now* (2025) dubs Austen moral philosopher: FID probes sentiments/propriety. *Knowledge Problem* (2025) applies virtue ethics—pride/prejudice as vices, language catalyzing phronesis (practical wisdom). Rupkatha (2025) secularizes: humanist ethics via satire on inheritance/ matrimony.

Theme-moral studies: LPPM (2024) extracts values (integrity > wealth), via thematic coding of dialogues. Glossa (2024) models “constant entailments” for ethical change in FID.

#### **4. PRAGMATICS IN FICTION:**

In linguistics (the study of language), pragmatics is a specialised branch that focuses on the relationship between natural language and its users. Pragmatics focuses on conversational implicatures — or that which a speaker implies and which a listener infers. Pragmatics in linguistics concerns the use of language in a particular context, directly related to the speaker and the listener, along with certain elements. There are various factors that contribute to pragmatics in the case of listener perception, interpreted words, situations and so on.

Fictional language spans a wide range, situating pragmatics in terms of the interpreter and the interpreted. Language in fictional works is diverse and author-driven, as each author brings a unique style. In *Pride and Prejudice*, the author employs free indirect style, allowing readers to access each character's inner world. Linguists have long expressed ambivalence toward fictional texts.

The communicative aspect of the language of fiction is taken into serious consideration. It can be explained using a small domestic scene in Bennet's home, where the girls discuss the approach of Bingley and Darcy. Austen's perspective at first is that Darcy is prideful, but later they realise that the owner of Pemberley is astute. Darcy later saves Lydia from a toxic marriage and settles her into the Bennet family. His generous act isn't detailed much in the text, but then Lizzy's prejudice is broken.

#### **5. JANE AUSTEN'S STYLE OF WRITING:**

Jane Austen's technique is quite practical; she explores Elizabeth's world and her inner moral conscience. Lizzy has been Austen's focus, through whom she has exposed powerful and witty dialogues. She uses a third-person omniscient narrator, which doesn't leave readers confined to a single point of view. The pragmatic structure gives ambiguous dialogues that not only point to the result but also produce commentary. The usage of rich vocabulary also denotes the lavish language prospects. The characters are well-defined, and the novel presents multifarious roles, creating a perfect domestic setting. She uses a free indirect discourse style, which is meant to be ‘A character's internal thought or speech is freed of its authorial flagging; no “he said to himself”, or he wondered or thought. The advantage of using free indirect discourse is that readers can read through the eyes of the author and the characters. Here, Austen can employ her style through the eyes of characters.

There is dialogue where Elizabeth thinks of the vindication of Wickham's behaviour, and she realises the true nature of Wickham, that he is never as amiable as she thought. The original lines in the text serve as a perfect example of Austen's free indirect discourse.

Lizzy's thought process and realisation of truth happen here, and the prejudiced thoughts of Wickham and Darcy result in their being incompatible. It's one of the finest free indirect styles used by Austen; her thoughts have been reflected as words in the novel. The characters have their individual personalities.

#### **6. ESSENCE OF LANGUAGE AND IMPLICATIONS IN THE NOVEL:**

Language serves as a connecting tool in a fictional work to convey ideas to readers. This helps to construct meaning, intention and viewpoints. It aids readers in building a relationship with characters and in feeling empathy. In every novel, the linguistic tones are examined to relate to the readers and how they have crossed the conventions that were previously established. Language determines the contribution of every character towards the work, whereas Mr Bennet and Mrs Bennet can be considered as the least important when compared to Darcy and Elizabeth. Their tones tend to be argumentative, sarcastic and way too dramatic.

“You mistake me, my dear. I have a high respect for your nerves. They are my old friends. I have heard you mention them with consideration these last twenty years at least.” (p.3)

These conversations take place in the first chapter, featuring Mr & Mrs Bennet. The father's dialogue demonstrates his respect for his daughters' freedom, unlike their mother. Lizzy receives all her father's support, which leads to conflicts with Mrs Bennet. *Pride and Prejudice* is filled with irony to increase readers' curiosity and keep them guessing about the Bennet daughters' relationships with young bachelors. The writing style and word choices encourage readers to continue. The language is indirect, so readers must read thoroughly for full interpretation. Dissecting the novel into smaller parts makes analysis easier. Words like 'tolerable fortune' can be considered.

Tolerable for whom, and how? These are humorous questions raised by the text. When Mr Bennet sarcastically exclaims,

It is from my cousin, Mr Collins, who, when I am dead, may turn you all out of this house as soon as he pleases.”  
“Oh! My dear,” cried his wife, “I cannot bear to hear that mentioned

The concept of inheritance of a male heir is established, and Mr Bennet makes a funny comment to the family of Mr Collins, chucking out the entire family when he is dead. Mrs Bennet’s character is always way too dramatic in this novel as she tries to find suitors for her daughters. Here, the word odious is used in the original text; it conveys the sense that Mr Collins is an odious man whom she hates coming to this town. The characters are never the same in any aspect. She entertains readers with diverse personalities as characters. Narration also suggests not only a single perspective but also partiality to the narrative. For example, if Elizabeth’s point of view is dealt with, then the love between them would have never bloomed, as her ardent prejudice would have subdued the love from Darcy. Also, if Lydia had narrated the entire context, it would have turned into a clown show; readers must have felt, upon reading the letter she wrote to the family after eloping. The plot moves forward as the language is inflated slightly with detailed descriptions. A common rule of literary linguistics is that content and style should be in line with each other. No doubt that Austen even expresses discriminating dialogues into full-grown classic dialogues. The coherence in syntactic structure, like a word-for-word response from either side, explains the amplitude style of linguistic wordplay.

Darcy says that “ Lizzy is tolerable and not handsome enough to tempt him; he is in no humour at present to give consequence to young ladies who are slighted by other men. And he insist Bingley to go and enjoy with his partner rather than to waste time with him”.

The way in which Darcy decodes Elizabeth ascertains elegance while criticising. Darcy’s first gesture to the Bennet family was not pleasing enough to Mr Bingley. The variation between the two is also sharply contrasted in chapter 4. Bingley was liked whenever he appeared, but Darcy was giving offence. The distinction between them is certainly varied despite equal wealth and charm. Thus, the choice of diction has priority in Austen’s style of writing. She uses it in an elegant way that makes her novel a classic till today.

The beauty of language is foreseen by readers when Austen furnishes the description of Hunsford, Netherfield and Pemberley. Austen's praise of nature is an exclusive delight for readers of literature, and the aura of literariness is found throughout Mr Collins' place; Hunsford is described gently, as it offers the most beautiful view from his house and on the way.

“.....leading the way through every walk and cross walk, and scarcely allowing them an interval to utter the praises he asked for, every view was pointed out with a minuteness which left beauty entirely behind. He could number the fields in every direction, and could tell how many trees there were in the most distant clump. But of all the views which his garden, or which the country or kingdom could boast, none were to be compared with the prospect of Rosings, afforded by an opening in the trees that bordered the park nearly opposite the front of his house. It was a handsome modern building, well situated on rising ground.”(p.136)

She uses balanced sentences between people and situations. The character's inner thoughts differ from the circumstances at the ball and from their subsequent actions. The author used realistic syntactic structures and specific words rather than embracing vagueness. The character descriptions are so perfect that the reader himself imagines a mental picture. The author's greatest achievement is letting readers imagine and visualise what he has dealt with in his book. For Instance, the Character description of Mr Darcy is given, in that he drew the attention of the entire room with his physical beauty, but within a few minutes, his inner qualities and manners gave disgust. The author is the master of a novel, like clay which gets moulded according to the author's wishes and construction. So the excellence of his art is revealed in his work.

"You Have Bewitched Me, Body And Soul.

This is a proposal from Darcy to Elizabeth, which she initially rejects and later accepts. The pride and prejudice of Darcy and Elizabeth are evident in their conversations. Darcy falls in love earlier and proposes to her later; she realises it and accepts. We can say that love has no language to be expressed, but Austen has wonderfully crafted her language for the proposal to make it the best proposal ever in Regency times.

Humorous language in Austen is enabled by a higher purpose than being funny or witty. The difference in all these terms is so minute yet meaningful. Pride and Prejudice is highly humorous and also incorporates the British style of humour that was popular in the eighteenth century. The tension that runs through the Bennet family is humorous and dramatic at the same time, as Lady Bennet has the sole aim of her five girls getting married

to a rich man. Being a mother, this may be right, but then sometimes her conversations are absurd, with no point. Her character is crafted to be dramatic with minimal sense, asking her husband to have compassion for her poor nerves. Mr Bennet turns out to be logical and agreeable, as his characterisation offers readers comfort by matching their thought process. This is the stage at which the imagination combines with reality to entertain readers/ audiences. Mrs Bennet and Mr Collins are entertainers in the show who exhibit little sense and are always irrelevant to the context. The daughters, except Jane and Lizzy, are mostly inconsiderable; the novel's female lead, Lizzy, has many dialogues related to Integrity. They convey meaningfulness and serve as building blocks for the novel; her purposeful speeches are easily relatable to the reader/audience. It has moral values and truth against injustice, which is celebrated among readers.

## 7. CONCLUSION:

This article focuses on the language features employed by Austen and provides a next-level analysis of their pragmatics. The relationship between context and readers is also explored. Aspects of language and their usage and their essentiality in fiction are observed to be enormous. These tiny segments are dissected for analysis of the whole novel. Austen, a spectacular writer, proves her fiction is classic in every possible way. The insight that she gives is enormous throughout the novel. Austen explores not only the situation and description but also connects the audience/readers to one another. Thought & feeling – Verbal expressions, it's the world of Jane Austen, so elegantly illustrated for the readers by a masterpiece

### References:

1. Austen, Jane . *Pride and Prejudice*. Peacock Books, Atlantic Publishers and Distributors, 1813.
2. Zimmerman, Everett. "Pride and Prejudice in *Pride and Prejudice*." *Nineteenth-Century Fiction*, vol. 23, no. 1, 1968, pp. 64–73. JSTOR, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2932317>. Accessed 10 Apr. 2024.
3. Wood, James. *How fiction works*. New York: Farrar Straus and Giroux. 2008. pp.9-22
4. Afterword, Joann Morse. *Pride and Prejudice*. New York: Signet. 1961, pp. 372-32
5. Halliday, E. M. "Narrative Perspective in *Pride and Prejudice*." *Nineteenth-Century Fiction*, vol. 15, no. 1, 1960, pp. 65–71. JSTOR, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2932835>.
6. Wang, Juan. "Appreciation of Literary Language of *Pride and Prejudice*". *Advances in social science, Education and Humanities and Research*, Atlantis press, vol. 142, pp. 631,633.
7. [https://nebo-lit.com/novel/pride-and-prejudice/Pride-and-Prejudice-Language.html#google\\_vignette](https://nebo-lit.com/novel/pride-and-prejudice/Pride-and-Prejudice-Language.html#google_vignette).
8. Ying, Gou & Xiao, Xie & Hang, Cheng.. *The Art of Language—Re-read of *Pride and Prejudice**. *Journal of Education and Culture Studies*. Vol.5, pp. 50.
9. H. Jucker , Andreas , and Miriam A. Locher. "Introducing Pragmatics of Fiction: Approaches, Trends and Developments." *Zurich Open Repository and Archive*, 2017, pp. 1-22, <https://doi.org/DOI:https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110431094-001>.
10. "Pragmatics in Linguistics: Definition and Examples." *Master Class*, 24 Aug. 2021, [www.masterclass.com/articles/pragmatics-in-linguistics-guide](http://www.masterclass.com/articles/pragmatics-in-linguistics-guide).
11. "Jane Austen As A Novelist." *Edubirdie*, 29 Jun. 2022, [edubirdie.com/examples/jane-austen-as-a-novelist..](http://edubirdie.com/examples/jane-austen-as-a-novelist..)