International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications
ISSN 2150-7988 Volume 18(7s) 2026 pp. 13-20
https://doi.org/10.70917/ijcisim-2026-3059
Article

# Navigating the Gig Horizon: Empirical Analysis of Job Satisfaction, Job Security, and Operational Challenges among Male Ride-Hailing Drivers

**Gittu Baby**

Department of Commerce, Baselios Poulose II Catholicose College, Piravom

**Abstract:** The proliferation of platform-based gig employment has fundamentally transformed urban labour markets, replacing traditional employment models with flexible managed structures. This study empirically examines the determinants of job satisfaction, perceptions of job security, and operational challenges experienced by male Uber drivers in Ernakulam District, Kerala. The paper concludes with actionable platform and regulatory frameworks designed to transition the gig economy from precarious labour toward sustainable livelihoods.
**Keywords:** Gig Economy, Algorithmic Management, Job Satisfaction, Job Security, Precarious Labour, Uber Drivers, Ernakulam District.

## 1. Introduction

### *1.1 Contextual Background*

The contemporary labour landscape is undergoing a profound paradigm shift driven by technological disruption, widespread smartphone penetration, and the rise of digital labour platforms. At the forefront of this evolution is the gig economy—a macroeconomic framework characterized by short-term, independent, and on-demand contractual engagements that bypass standard employer-employee archetypes. While proponents celebrate this ecosystem for democratizing labour access and offering unprecedented operational autonomy, critics highlight the severe precarity, structural vulnerabilities, and shifting of operational risks directly onto the individual provider.

Within this global transformation, app-based ride-hailing services have emerged as pivotal anchors of urban mobility systems, particularly across rapidly growing urban corridors in developing economies. In Ernakulam District—the commercial hub of Kerala, characterized by intense urbanization and high digital literacy—platforms like Uber have integrated themselves into the city's socioeconomic fabric. Ride-hailing infrastructure has become a primary source of employment for a diverse demographic of male workers seeking immediate financial returns.

### *1.2 Statement of the Problem*

Despite providing an immediate labour alternative, the ride-hailing sector operates through a framework of algorithmic management. This system replaces human supervisors with tracking metrics, automated dispatch loops, and unilateral performance thresholds. Drivers operate without formal employment contracts, institutionalized social security benefits, or minimum income guarantees. Instead, they face a volatile operational landscape shaped by fluctuating fuel costs, platform commission dynamic variations, and asymmetric ratings architectures.

While the flexibility of setting one's hours remains a major draw, it often requires working prolonged, irregular hours to generate sustainable net earnings. This balance between autonomy and instability directly impacts drivers' psychological well-being, financial planning, and career progression. Despite their critical role in maintaining urban transit networks, empirical research tracking the overlapping dimensions of job satisfaction, systemic insecurity, and daily operational frictions among drivers in this region remains limited. This study fills this gap by executing a localized empirical evaluation of male Uber drivers operating within Ernakulam District.

### *1.3 Objectives of the Study*

1. To measure and evaluate the localized indices of job satisfaction among male Uber drivers in Ernakulam District.
2. To isolate, rank, and analyse the operational, financial, and environmental problems faced by these drivers during their daily shifts.
3. To determine the structural and demographic factors that influence perceived job security within platform-intermediated employment arrangements.

## 2. Research Methodology

### *2.1 Research Design*

This study utilizes a cross-sectional descriptive and exploratory research design. This approach allows for the systematic capture and measurement of subjective assessments (satisfaction and security) alongside objective operational metrics (monthly income, hours worked, and recurring costs).

### *2.2 Universe, Sample Size, and Selection*

The target population comprises male Uber drivers operating regularly within the urban and semi-urban corridors of Ernakulam District. Given the lack of an un-biased, centralized registration registry for active digital platform IDs, a Snowball Sampling Technique was used. Initial institutional access points were established at major transit junctions, airport staging hubs, and metro terminal parking lots. These initial contacts then helped expand the network to reach a sample size of N = 100 respondents.

### *2.3 Data Collection Instruments*

Data were gathered using a structured primary questionnaire administered through face-to-face interviews to ensure complete, reliable entries. The survey instrument included a mix of demographic categories and 5-point Likert scales ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree / Highly Dissatisfied) to 5 (Strongly Agree / Highly Satisfied). Secondary data were sourced from labour economics journals, industrial relations reports, and relevant policy briefs.

### *2.4 Analytical Toolkit and Statistical Protocols*

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software through the following statistical tools:

- **Descriptive Statistics & Mean Score Indexing:** Frequency tables and percentages were used to map demographic distributions. Attitudinal statements were evaluated using a Mean Score converted to a Mean Percentage Score (MPS).
- **Normality Verification:** Because parametric tests require a normally distributed dependent variable, Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) and Shapiro-Wilk (S-W) tests were applied across the demographic groupings. A significance value ($p > 0.05$) indicates a normal distribution, justifying the use of parametric tools.
- **Inferential Hypotheses Testing (One-Way ANOVA):** One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to assess variance across ordinal demographic groups with three or more sub-categories (income brackets, age cohorts, educational qualifications) relative to the scale metrics for satisfaction, security, and operational stress.

## 3. Data Analysis and Interpretation

### *3.1 Demographic and Profile Analysis*

**Monthly Net Income Distribution**

| Sl. No. | Monthly Income Bracket (₹) | Frequency (N=100) | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|---|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Below 10,000 | 43 | 43.0 |
| 2 | 10,000 – 20,000 | 27 | 27.0 |
| 3 | 20,001 – 30,000 | 20 | 20.0 |
| 4 | Above 30,000 | 10 | 10.0 |
| **Total** | — | **100** | **100.0** |

*Interpretation:* The data reveal that 43% of the surveyed drivers earn less than ₹10,000 per month. Combined with the next bracket, 70% of the workforce earns under ₹20,000 monthly, highlighting low net earnings across the platform sector.

**Age Profile Analysis**

| Sl. No. | Age Range (Years) | Frequency (N=100) | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Below 25 | 56 | 56.0 |
| 2 | 36 – 45 | 16 | 16.0 |
| 3 | 46 – 50 | 13 | 13.0 |
| 4 | Above 50 | 15 | 15.0 |
| **Total** | — | **100** | **100.0** |

*Interpretation:* The driving workforce is heavily weighted toward younger demographics, with 56% of respondents under the age of 25. This suggests that young job seekers frequently use ride-hailing platforms as temporary or entry-level employment.

**Educational Qualifications**

| Sl. No. | Qualification Tier | Frequency (N=100) | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Undergraduate Degree | 33 | 33.0 |
| 2 | Post-Graduation Degree | 28 | 28.0 |
| 3 | Professional Education / Diploma | 21 | 21.0 |
| 4 | Secondary / Others | 18 | 18.0 |
| **Total** | — | **100** | **100.0** |

*Interpretation:* The sample shows a highly educated workforce: 61% of respondents hold either an undergraduate or postgraduate degree, while another 21% possess specialized diplomas or professional certificates. This points to underemployment, with educated individuals turning to platform driving due to limited opportunities in the formal labour market.

## *3.2 Comprehensive Matrix of Job Satisfaction Metrics*

To assess job satisfaction, individual operational variables were evaluated using 5-point Likert scales, compiled into Mean Percentage Scores, and categorized by outcome.

| Sl. No. | Core Satisfaction Variables | SD (1) | D (2) | N (3) | A (4) | SA (5) | Mean | MPS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Working hours are highly convenient for my personal life. | 51 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 1.68 | 33.6 |
| 2 | Incentives and bonus structures significantly increase income. | 14 | 38 | 27 | 15 | 6 | 2.61 | 52.2 |
| 3 | The current platform fare system is fair and reasonable. | 41 | 10 | 26 | 11 | 12 | 2.43 | 48.6 |
| 4 | Passenger interactions and rating interfaces are positive. | 15 | 21 | 36 | 18 | 10 | 2.87 | 57.4 |
| 5 | Payment settlement delays disrupt basic financial planning. | 35 | 14 | 20 | 19 | 12 | 2.01 | 40.2 |

*Interpretation:*

- **Working Hours (MPS = 33.6):** A strong majority (63% strongly disagreeing or disagreeing) indicate that their working hours do not support a balanced personal life. This reflects the pressure to work extended shifts to offset low base fares.
- **Fare System (MPS = 48.6):** Over half of the respondents (51%) rate the platform pricing model as unfair, viewing current fare rates as insufficient relative to rising fuel prices and commission deductions.
- **Payment Processing (MPS = 40.2):** Most driver's report that automated payment processing schedules operate reliably, making it a lower source of friction.

**Operational Challenges and Stress Factors**

**Distribution of Shift Bottlenecks and Recurring Expenses**

Drivers were asked to isolate the primary issues impacting their daily operations across financial, spatial, and health dimensions.

| Operational Stress Factor | Frequency (%) | Primary Safety & Risk Exposure | Frequency (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Low customer demand in specific zones | 40.0 | Poor road conditions / ongoing construction | 47.0 |
| Long waiting times between trips | 35.0 | Passenger misbehaviour / verbal friction | 29.0 |
| Heavy urban traffic congestion | 15.0 | Ergonomic health risks / heat fatigue | 15.0 |
| Parking deficiencies / restricted resting spots | 10.0 | Night-time driving visibility risks | 9.0 |
| **Total** | **100.0** | **Total** | **100.0** |

*Interpretation:* Shift bottlenecks are led by localized demand gaps (40%) and long idle times between assignments (35%). Physical safety concerns are dominated by infrastructure issues, with 47% identifying poor road conditions and construction zones as major hazards.

**Financial Strain and Mental Health Pressures**

| Primary Financial Drain Factor | Frequency (%) | Psychological Stress Inducers | Frequency (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rising fuel costs | 38.0 | Unpredictable and long working hours | 36.0 |
| Vehicle maintenance & permit renewals | 33.0 | Constant pressure to meet incentive targets | 26.0 |
| High insurance premiums | 17.0 | Income instability and revenue drops | 24.0 |
| Platform commission cuts & service fees | 12.0 | Fear of low ratings / sudden deactivation | 14.0 |
| **Total** | **100.0** | **Total** | **100.0** |

*Interpretation:* Rising fuel costs (38%) and vehicle upkeep (33%) constitute the main financial strains on gross earnings. Psychologically, 36% of drivers identify long, unpredictable hours as the primary factor impacting their mental health.

**Variable Metrics of Problems Faced**

| Sl. No. | Identified Problem Variables | HS (1) | S (2) | N (3) | D (4) | HD (5) | Mean | MPS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Net earnings from driving are highly inconsistent. | 53 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1.75 | 35.0 |
| 2 | Passenger trip cancellations cause immediate income loss. | 47 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 1.90 | 38.0 |

### *3.3 Factors Determining Perceived Job Security*

**Platform Structural Security Factors**

| Platform Security Risk Factor | Frequency (%) | Primary Support Dependencies | Frequency (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sudden account deactivation | 34.0 | Availability of live customer support | 39.0 |
| Unilateral shifts in incentive structures | 31.0 | Effectiveness of grievance channels | 31.0 |
| Sudden fare revisions | 24.0 | Speed of complaint resolution | 15.0 |
| Sudden application updates | 11.0 | Combined platform support factors | 15.0 |
| **Total** | **100.0** | **Total** | **100.0** |

**Scalar Analysis of Structural Security Variables**

| Sl. No. | Structural Security Determinants | HS | S | N | D | HD | Mean | MPS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Rising fuel costs reduce long-term job security. | 51 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3.24 | 64.8 |
| 2 | Unchecked customer complaints threaten continuity. | 32 | 40 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 4.26 | 85.2 |
| 3 | Core support from the platform ensures stability. | 42 | 31 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 1.93 | 38.6 |
| 4 | Maintaining high ratings is essential for continuity. | 30 | 34 | 21 | 7 | 8 | 4.58 | 91.6 |
| 5 | Changing bonus structures impact work longevity. | 41 | 29 | 20 | 3 | 7 | 4.12 | 82.4 |

*Interpretation:* Job continuity is highly sensitive to feedback metrics, with user ratings (MPS = 91.6) and customer complaints (MPS = 85.2) viewed as critical elements for remaining active on the platform.

## *3.4 Inferential Statistical Hypothesis Testing*

**Hypothesis Testing 1: Job Satisfaction across Income Brackets**

- **Null Hypothesis (Ho):** There is no significant difference in job satisfaction levels among male Uber drivers across different monthly income brackets.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is a significant difference in job satisfaction levels among male Uber drivers across different monthly income brackets.

Prior to running the parametric calculation, a normality analysis was conducted using the Shapiro-Wilk test across the monthly income categories. The significance values emerged as 0.075, 0.200, 0.200, and 0.117. Because all values exceed the alpha threshold ($p > 0.05$), the distribution matches normality requirements, justifying the use of a One-Way ANOVA.

**One-Way ANOVA – Job Satisfaction vs. Monthly Income**

| **Variance Source** | **Sum of Squares** | **df** | **Mean Square** | **F-Statistic** | **Significance (p-value)** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Between Groups | 2.643 | 3 | 0.881 | 2.665 | 0.052 |
| Within Groups | 31.404 | 95 | 0.331 | — | — |
| **Total** | **34.047** | **98** | — | — | — |

*Statistical Decision:* Because the calculated significance value ($p = 0.052$) is greater than the standard significance level (alpha = 0.05), the null hypothesis (Ho) is accepted.

*Economic Interpretation:* There is no statistically significant variation in job satisfaction based on a driver's monthly income tier. This uniform dissatisfaction suggests that issues like low base fares and limited autonomy affect drivers similarly across all earnings levels.

**Hypothesis Testing 2: Operational Problems across Age Cohorts**

- **Null Hypothesis (Ho):** There is no statistically significant difference in the operational problems experienced by male Uber drivers across different age cohorts.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is a statistically significant difference in the operational problems experienced by male Uber drivers across different age cohorts.

Normality tests across the age groupings yielded Shapiro-Wilk metrics of 0.059, 0.857, 0.912, and 0.516. Since these values exceed 0.05, the dataset satisfies the assumption of normality.

**One-Way ANOVA – Operational Problems vs. Age**

| Variance Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-Statistic | Significance (p-value) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Between Groups | 0.329 | 3 | 0.110 | 0.296 | 0.828 |
| Within Groups | 35.624 | 96 | 0.371 | — | — |
| **Total** | **35.954** | **99** | — | — | — |

*Statistical Decision:* Because the significance value (p = 0.828) is well above the standard threshold (alpha = 0.05), the null hypothesis (Ho) is accepted.

*Sociological Interpretation:* Operational problems remain uniform across different age groups. Younger drivers looking for flexible earnings and older drivers using the platform for regular livelihoods experience similar levels of structural friction, traffic stress, and income volatility.

**Hypothesis Testing 3: Perceptions of Job Security across Educational Tiers**

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no statistically significant difference in the perceived job security of male Uber drivers based on their educational qualifications.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is a statistically significant difference in the perceived job security of male Uber drivers based on their educational qualifications.

Normality verification using the Shapiro-Wilk protocol across educational levels produced significance values of 0.210, 0.496, 0.437, and 0.749, confirming a normal distribution.

**One-Way ANOVA – Perceived Job Security vs. Educational Qualifications**

| Variance Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-Statistic | Significance (p-value) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Between Groups | 2.292 | 3 | 0.764 | 2.747 | 0.047 |
| Within Groups | 26.698 | 96 | 0.278 | — | — |
| **Total** | **28.990** | **99** | — | — | — |

*Statistical Decision:* Because the significance value (p = 0.047) is less than the standard significance level (alpha = 0.05), the null hypothesis (Ho) is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted.

*Labour Market Interpretation:* There is a statistically significant variation in perceptions of job security based on educational level. Highly educated drivers (graduates and postgraduates) often report higher anxiety regarding job security. This trend likely stems from an acute awareness of underemployment, a lack of formal contractual protections, and fewer long-term career development opportunities within algorithmic platform frameworks.

## 4.Conclusion

This empirical study highlights the balance between flexible work opportunities and systemic vulnerabilities within the contemporary gig economy. While ride-hailing platforms like Uber provide accessible earning alternatives for a young, educated demographic in Ernakulam District, they shift operational risks and financial instability directly onto the workforce. The data show clear dissatisfaction with fare fairness, work-life balance, and structural job security, and these pressures apply uniformly across income levels and age brackets.

Notably, highly educated drivers experience significantly greater concern regarding long-term job stability, highlighting the psychological and professional strains of underemployment under algorithmic management. Resolving these core issues requires coordinated action: digital platforms must adopt fairer pricing and transparent dispute policies, while state regulators need to implement protective labour frameworks. Balancing technological innovation with basic worker protections is essential for transforming platform driving from an unstable fall back into a sustainable, secure livelihood.

## References


1. Arvey, R. D., Bouchard, T. J., Segal, N. L., & Abraham, L. M. (1989). Job satisfaction: Environmental and genetic components. Journal of Applied Psychology, 74(2), 187–192.
2. Furnham, A., Eracleous, K., & Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2002). Personality, motivation and job satisfaction: An assessment of the Herzberg Two-Factor theory. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 17(1), 21–43.
3. Gunz, H. P., & Gunz, S. P. (1994). Professional/organizational commitment and job satisfaction for employed lawyers. Human Relations, 47(7), 801–828.
4. Johnson, S. B. (2002). Job retention and advancement in modern marketplace dynamics. Oxford Economic Papers, 54(4), 622–641.
5. Narayanaswamy, M., & Rao, V. (2014). Investigating job involvement and structural work characteristics in educational settings. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 49(3), 445–458.
6. Oh, S. S., & Lewis, G. B. (2013). Can performance appraisal systems inspire productivity? Evaluation of career advancement metrics in civil services. Public Administration Review, 73(2), 258–267.
7. Steyn, R., & Vawda, N. (2015). Job characteristics, job satisfaction, stress and depression among white-collar workers in South Africa. South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences, 18(1), 105–119.
8. Timperley, H., Wilson, A., Barrar, H., & Fung, I. (2007). Teacher professional learning and development: Best evidence synthesis iteration. New Zealand Ministry of Education.
9. Twomey, C., Linehan, M., & Walsh, J. S. (2002). Career progression hurdles for female professionals in structural institutional practices. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 13(4), 654–668.
10. Watts, A. G. (2006). Career development learning and employability. Learning and Employability Series, 1, 1–28.