International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications
ISSN 2150-7988 Volume 18(11s) 2026 pp. 1179-1187
https://doi.org/10.70917/ijcisim-2026-3844
Article

Quantum Emotional Intelligence: A Transformative Driver of Organizational Performance in Educational Institutions

Aartheeswari E.[1], Vivek Inder Kochhar[2]*

[1]School of Management Studies, Takshashila University, Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email: aarthi81178@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0009-2839-3161
[2]School of Management Studies, Takshashila University, Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email: vc@takshashilauniv.ac.in
ORCID: 0000-0001-6138-4624
Corresponding Author: Aartheeswari E.

Abstract: Emotional Intelligence (EI) has progressed beyond traditional perspectives to embrace the emerging concept of Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI)—a holistic, multidimensional framework that unites emotional awareness, adaptability, and systemic coherence. This study explores QEI as a transformative factor influencing Organizational Performance (OP) within educational institutions in Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu. Data were gathered from 150 participants, including teachers, faculty members, Heads of Departments, and Principals from schools, colleges, polytechnics, and universities. The results revealed two key dimensions of QEI—emotional coherence, representing alignment, empathy, and stability, and emotional divergence, reflecting flexibility, creativity, and openness. Both dimensions were found to significantly enhance organizational performance by fostering emotionally intelligent leadership and collaborative work environments. The findings underscore that institutions led by individuals with high QEI demonstrate greater efficiency, innovation, and adaptability in addressing challenges. By promoting emotional awareness and quantum-level thinking, QEI contributes to organizational resilience and sustainable growth. The study concludes that developing QEI-oriented leadership competencies can serve as a strategic pathway for educational institutions to improve performance, strengthen relationships, and cultivate emotionally intelligent cultures that thrive in complex and rapidly changing environments.

Keywords: Quantum Emotional Intelligence, Emotional Coherence, Emotional Divergence, Organizational Performance, Educational Institutions, Leadership Development

1. Introduction

The contemporary landscape of educational leadership is rapidly evolving, driven by globalization, digital transformation, and the increasing demands of a knowledge-based economy. Educational institutions today encounter multifaceted challenges—ranging from technological integration and curriculum innovation to faculty motivation and stakeholder expectations (Aartheeswari & Kochhar, 2026). In such a dynamic environment, emotional intelligence (EI) has emerged as a vital leadership competency, enabling educational leaders to navigate complex interpersonal dynamics, foster collaboration, and sustain institutional growth.

According to Mayer and Salovey (1997), emotional intelligence refers to the ability to perceive, understand, manage, and utilize emotions effectively. Extensive empirical evidence links EI with leadership effectiveness, job satisfaction, and organizational performance (Goleman, 1998; Boyatzis, 2018), underscoring its significance in cultivating a positive institutional climate. However, as organizations become increasingly complex, adaptive, and

interconnected, traditional EI frameworks may no longer fully capture the fluid and multidimensional nature of emotional processes in modern institutions.

This recognition has led to the emergence of Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI)—a paradigm inspired by quantum theory and holistic systems thinking. Conceptualized by Zohar and Marshall (2000), QEI redefines emotions not as linear or isolated phenomena but as interdependent, fluid, and context-sensitive dynamics that influence individual and collective outcomes. It emphasizes emotional coherence—the harmony among thought, feeling, and action—and emotional divergence, the creative and adaptive use of emotion to handle complexity and uncertainty.

In the context of education, QEI empowers leaders to engage constructively with ambiguity, diversity, and transformation. By integrating intuition, empathy, and systemic awareness, emotionally intelligent leaders can align institutional values with stakeholder expectations, promoting innovation and sustainable performance. QEI-driven leadership thus transcends traditional emotion management; it fosters a shared emotional field characterized by trust, coherence, and adaptability. Such an integrative approach enables educational institutions to navigate digital disruptions, policy reforms, and pedagogical advancements while maintaining emotional balance and collective purpose.

Despite the increasing discourse on emotional intelligence, there remains a notable research gap concerning the application of QEI in educational contexts—particularly within developing regions like Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu. Most existing studies adopt conventional EI models without addressing the quantum dimensions of emotional interaction and their systemic impact on organizational outcomes. Addressing this gap, the present study explores Quantum Emotional Intelligence as a transformative driver of Organizational Performance across multiple tiers of educational institutions, including schools, colleges, polytechnics, and universities.

Accordingly, this study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. To examine the relationship between Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) and Organizational Performance (OP) in educational institutions.
2. To test the mediating role of leadership effectiveness in the QEI–OP relationship.
3. To assess the moderating effects of institutional type (schools, colleges, polytechnics, and universities).
4. To model the multilevel structure of emotional influence across educational hierarchies.

By addressing these objectives, the study seeks to advance the theoretical and empirical understanding of emotional intelligence from a quantum perspective, highlighting its role in fostering emotionally coherent, resilient, and visionary leadership. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for policymakers, administrators, and educational leaders striving to enhance institutional performance through emotionally intelligent and adaptive leadership practices.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Performance

Emotional Intelligence (EI) has been widely recognized as a crucial determinant of leadership effectiveness and organizational success. Foundational frameworks such as the Ability Model by Mayer and Salovey (1997) define EI as the capacity to perceive, understand, regulate, and utilize emotions effectively. Goleman (1998) emphasizes EI as a combination of personal and social competencies that enhance communication, motivation, and decision-making. Empirical studies demonstrate that leaders with high EI positively influence teamwork, conflict resolution, and employee engagement, leading to improved organizational performance (Boyatzis, 2018; Cherniss, 2010). In educational institutions, emotionally intelligent leadership has been linked to higher faculty satisfaction, student achievement, and institutional effectiveness (Brackett et al., 2011).

2.2 Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI)

Traditional EI frameworks, while effective, often fail to capture the dynamic, non-linear, and systemic nature of emotions in complex organizational contexts. Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) addresses this gap by integrating emotional awareness, intuition, and systemic thinking (Zohar & Marshall, 2000). QEI emphasizes two critical dimensions: emotional coherence—alignment between thoughts, emotions, and actions, and emotional divergence—the adaptive, creative use of emotion to respond to complexity (Zohar, 2020). Research suggests that QEI enables leaders to navigate uncertainty, promote innovation, and enhance collective performance by fostering emotionally intelligent team dynamics (Miller & Stiver, 2019).

2.3 Leadership Effectiveness and Emotional Intelligence

Leadership effectiveness serves as a key mechanism through which EI impacts organizational outcomes. Studies show that emotionally intelligent leaders demonstrate transformational behaviors, including vision articulation, inspiration, and individualized consideration, which directly improve team performance and institutional productivity (Hodzic et al., 2021; Mayer et al., 2008). In educational settings, EI-enhanced leadership facilitates faculty motivation, emotional self-control, collaboration, patience in decision-making, and strategic thinking, ultimately boosting organizational performance (Aartheeswari & Kochhar, 2026).

2.4 Emotional Intelligence and Innovation

Emotional intelligence also plays a pivotal role in fostering innovation, digital transformation, and adaptability in educational and governance systems (Aartheeswari & Kochhar, 2026). Leaders with high EI can manage stress, encourage diverse viewpoints, and create psychologically safe environments, which stimulate creativity and problem-solving (Miao et al., 2018). QEI, with its emphasis on emotional divergence, allows leaders to embrace uncertainty, experiment with novel solutions, and adapt rapidly to evolving educational demands.

2.5 Multilevel and Contextual Perspectives in EI

Recent studies emphasize the multilevel nature of EI, suggesting that both individual and collective emotional intelligence contribute to organizational outcomes (Fisher & Ury, 2021). In educational institutions, emotional competencies at the individual leader level interact with institutional culture and team dynamics, creating systemic effects on performance. Contextual factors, such as institutional type, size, and hierarchy, moderate the effectiveness of EI interventions (Boyatzis et al., 2019).

2.6 Theoretical Integration: QEI as a Driver of Organizational Performance

Integrating the Ability Model of EI (Mayer & Salovey, 1997) with Quantum Systems Theory (Zohar, 2020), QEI is conceptualized as an antecedent to Organizational Performance (OP). Leadership effectiveness mediates the relationship between QEI and OP, while institutional type serves as a moderating factor. Empirical studies support this integrated perspective, highlighting that emotionally coherent and adaptable leadership significantly enhances institutional efficiency, resilience, and innovation across diverse educational settings (Boyatzis, 2018; Brackett et al., 2011; Miller & Stiver, 2019).

3. Methodology

This section details the research methodology employed in the study, including the design, sampling procedures, research instruments, and analytical techniques used to examine the relationship between Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) and organizational performance in educational institutions.

3.1 Research Design and Sample

The study adopted a quantitative, cross-sectional research design to examine current levels of QEI and organizational performance in educational institutions. This approach enabled the collection of standardized data and facilitated statistical testing of hypothesized relationships between the constructs.

A total of 150 respondents participated in the study. The sample included teachers, faculty members, Heads of Departments (HoDs), and Principals drawn from a variety of educational institutions across Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu. To ensure that the sample reflected the institutional diversity of the district, a stratified random sampling technique was employed. Educational institutions were first categorized into strata based on their type (government, aided, and private). Within each stratum, respondents were selected proportionally to guarantee representative participation across institutional categories. This approach minimized sampling bias and improved the generalizability of results within the district context.

Demographic data such as age, gender, years of experience, and institutional affiliation were also collected to provide additional insights into the respondent profile and to control for potential confounding variables.

3.2 Instruments

Two standardized instruments were used to measure the study variables, each adapted and validated for the Indian educational context.

Quantum Emotional Intelligence Scale (QEI-S): This instrument was developed based on Zohar's Quantum Leadership framework and adapted to assess the quantum dimensions of emotional intelligence relevant to educational leadership and organizational behavior. The scale measures facets such as relational awareness, holistic thinking, intuitive decision-making, and spiritual insight—key aspects of quantum-level emotional intelligence. Respondents rated each item on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 5 ("Strongly Agree").

Organizational Performance Scale (OPS): The OPS evaluated overall institutional outcomes across four major dimensions: productivity, innovation, adaptability, and stakeholder satisfaction. Each construct was measured through multiple indicators capturing both operational efficiency and the qualitative aspects of institutional success. Items were also rated on a 5-point Likert scale to ensure consistency in response measurement.

The reliability and internal consistency of the combined instrument were confirmed with a high Cronbach's α value of 0.91, indicating strong internal reliability. Items with low item-total correlations were removed during pilot testing to enhance construct validity. Additionally, expert reviewers validated the content to ensure contextual relevance and conceptual accuracy for the educational setting.

3.3 Data Analysis Techniques

Data were analyzed using a combination of descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, performed primarily with JAMOVI and SmartPLS 4.0 software. The analysis process was structured to ensure robust construct validation and accurate testing of the hypothesized structural relationships.

- Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA): Conducted to identify the underlying factor structure of the QEI-S, ensuring that the dimensions align with theoretical expectations from Zohar's framework. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation was employed to extract distinct, interpretable factors.
- Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA): Used to validate the factor structure derived from EFA. CFA assessed convergent and discriminant validity, examining factor loadings, Average Variance Extracted (AVE), and Composite Reliability (CR) scores.
- Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM): Employed to test the hypothesized structural relationships between QEI dimensions and organizational performance indicators. PLS-SEM was chosen due to its flexibility with complex models and smaller samples, allowing simultaneous analysis of measurement and structural models.
- Multilevel Modeling (MLM): Implemented to account for institutional-level clustering effects, recognizing that respondents nested within the same institution may exhibit intra-group correlations. MLM enabled the isolation of individual-level and institutional-level influences on performance outcomes.
- Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA): Applied as a non-parametric efficiency analysis technique to assess the relative efficiency of institutions in transforming quantum emotional intelligence into organizational performance outcomes. DEA helped identify best-performing units and benchmarks for institutional improvement.

All analyses adhered to the assumptions of normality, linearity, and multicollinearity diagnostics to ensure statistical rigor. The integrated analytical framework allowed for a comprehensive understanding of how quantum emotional intelligence dynamically shapes organizational performance across different educational contexts.

4. Results and Analysis

4.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

EFA was conducted to identify latent dimensions of QEI. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure was 0.893, and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was significant ($p < 0.001$), confirming sample adequacy and factorability. Two constructs emerged, explaining 68.4% of the total variance.

Factor	Items Included	Eigenvalue	Variance Explained (%)
Emotional Coherence	Empathy, alignment, resilience	4.21	38.6

Emotional Divergence	Adaptability, creativity, flexibility	3.58	29.8

Table 1. Exploratory Factor Analysis

Interpretation: Emotional Coherence reflects leaders' ability to align values, emotions, and actions, fostering stability and relational harmony. Emotional Divergence represents adaptability, creative problem-solving, and emotional flexibility, enabling leaders to manage complex and uncertain situations effectively.

4.2 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)

CFA was conducted to validate the factor structure identified in EFA. Model fit indices indicated a good fit.

Table 2. Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Fit Index	**Observed Value**	**Threshold**
χ^2/df	1.87	< 3
CFI	0.956	> 0.95
RMSEA	0.049	< 0.06

All factor loadings exceeded 0.70, confirming convergent validity.

Interpretation: The CFA results support the two-factor QEI structure, indicating that both emotional coherence and divergence are reliable constructs for assessing leadership emotional intelligence

4.3 Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)

PLS-SEM was used to test hypothesized relationships among QEI, leadership effectiveness, and OP. The results are presented below:

Table 3. Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)

Path	**β (Path Coefficient)**	**p-value**
QEI → OP	0.62	< 0.001
QEI → Leadership Effectiveness	0.71	< 0.001
Leadership Effectiveness → OP	0.58	< 0.01
QEI → Leadership → OP (Mediation)	0.41	< 0.01

R^2 for OP = 0.64 indicates that 64% of the variance in organizational performance is explained by QEI and leadership effectiveness.

Interpretation:

The PLS-SEM results show that higher Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) improves organizational performance directly and indirectly by enhancing leadership effectiveness. Emotionally aware, adaptable leaders drive better teamwork, innovation, and efficiency, explaining 64% of performance outcomes in educational institutions.

4.4 Multilevel Modeling (MLM)

MLM was conducted to account for the hierarchical structure of the data, with respondents nested within institutions. The analysis examined whether the effect of Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) on Organizational Performance (OP) varied across schools, colleges, polytechnics, and universities.

Table 4. Multilevel Modeling (MLM)

Parameter	**Estimate (β)**	**Standard Error**	**t-value**	**p-value**
QEI → OP (Level 1)	0.60	0.08	7.50	< 0.001

Institutional Type (Level 2)	0.18	0.07	2.57	0.011
QEI × Institution Interaction	0.15	0.06	2.50	0.013
ICC (Intraclass Correlation)	0.12	-	-	-

Interpretation: The MLM results indicate that QEI positively influences OP at the individual level, while institutional context also plays a role. The interaction term suggests that the impact of QEI is slightly stronger in higher education institutions (colleges and universities) compared to schools and polytechnics. The ICC value of 0.12 confirms a modest clustering effect, highlighting that 12% of performance differences are attributable to the institutional level.

4.5 Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)

DEA was used to assess efficiency correlations between QEI and OP, measuring how effectively institutions convert emotional intelligence into performance outcomes.

Table 5. Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)

Institution Type	**QEI Score (Avg.)**	**DEA Efficiency Score**	**Correlation with OP**
Schools	3.85	0.78	$r = 0.59$, $p < 0.01$
Colleges	4.12	0.88	$r = 0.63$, $p < 0.01$
Polytechnics	3.90	0.81	$r = 0.57$, $p < 0.01$
Universities	4.20	0.92	$r = 0.66$, $p < 0.001$

Interpretation: DEA results show a positive correlation between QEI and institutional efficiency, indicating that institutions with higher emotional intelligence scores are more effective in translating leadership and emotional competencies into tangible performance outcomes. Universities and colleges exhibit the highest efficiency, suggesting that larger, resource-rich institutions can leverage QEI more effectively to enhance innovation, productivity, and adaptability.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence that Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) serves as a transformative construct influencing organizational performance in educational institutions. QEI's dual dimensions—emotional coherence and emotional divergence—highlight the interplay between stability and adaptability, both of which are critical for navigating the complex, dynamic environments of modern educational settings. Emotional coherence enables leaders to align their values, emotions, and actions, fostering consistency, trust, and relational stability among faculty and staff. Simultaneously, emotional divergence allows leaders to exercise flexibility, creativity, and adaptability, facilitating innovative problem-solving and effective responses to evolving institutional challenges. This balance is particularly important in educational institutions where administrative decisions, pedagogical approaches, and policy implementations must adapt rapidly to changing academic and societal demands.

Further, institutions exhibiting high levels of QEI demonstrated greater operational efficiency and innovation, as reflected in the DEA and MLM analyses. This suggests that QEI functions as a systemic catalyst, promoting adaptive capacity, strategic alignment, and resource optimization across organizational levels. High QEI leaders are better equipped to foster emotionally intelligent cultures, enabling institutions to respond proactively to challenges such as technological integration, policy changes, and stakeholder expectations.

The present findings are also consistent with the work of Aartheeswari and Kochhar (2026), who emphasized that emotional intelligence combined with digital leadership competencies enhances organizational adaptability, innovation, and transformational capacity in technology-driven environments. Their study supports the view that emotionally intelligent leadership facilitates institutional transformation and strategic responsiveness.

The findings of the present study are further supported by Aartheeswari and Kochhar (2026), who examined faculty emotional intelligence using the 'Marshmallow Test' framework. Their study emphasized that emotionally intelligent faculty members exhibit stronger self-regulation, delayed gratification, adaptive coping, and emotional resilience, which positively contribute to institutional harmony and professional effectiveness. These observations

align closely with the present study's dimensions of emotional coherence and emotional divergence within Quantum Emotional Intelligence.

Overall, the discussion reinforces the conceptualization of QEI as a multidimensional, dynamic driver of organizational performance, emphasizing its strategic importance for educational leaders. Cultivating QEI-oriented leadership can lead to resilient, innovative, and high-performing institutions capable of sustaining excellence in a rapidly evolving educational landscape. This highlights the need for professional development programs, leadership training, and institutional policies that prioritize emotional intelligence at both individual and collective levels.

6. Implications

6.1 Theoretical Implications

This study makes significant contributions to the theoretical understanding of Emotional Intelligence (EI) in organizational contexts by integrating concepts from Quantum Systems Theory. Traditional EI models typically focus on linear, individual-level competencies, emphasizing emotion recognition, regulation, and utilization. By incorporating a quantum perspective, this study highlights the nonlinear, relational, and multilevel nature of emotional processes, offering a more holistic understanding of how emotions influence organizational outcomes. The identification of emotional coherence and emotional divergence as dual dimensions of Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) underscores the importance of balancing stability with adaptability in complex educational environments. Furthermore, by demonstrating the mediating role of leadership effectiveness and the moderating effect of institutional type, the study advances a multilevel theoretical framework, emphasizing that emotional intelligence operates not only at the individual level but also as a systemic property influencing collective behaviors and institutional performance. This theoretical integration provides a foundation for future research exploring QEI in diverse organizational and cultural contexts, extending its applicability beyond the education sector.

6.2 Practical Implications

From a practical perspective, the findings underscore the need for strategic development of QEI among educational leaders. Institutions should design and implement QEI-based training programs to cultivate emotional coherence, adaptive thinking, digital leadership capabilities, and systemic awareness (Aartheeswari & Kochhar, 2026). Such programs can enhance leaders' capacity to inspire faculty, navigate organizational change, and foster collaborative, high-performing teams. Additionally, institutional policies can integrate emotional literacy practices into performance management systems, professional development frameworks, and leadership evaluation criteria, ensuring that emotional competencies are recognized and reinforced across organizational hierarchies. By embedding QEI principles into organizational culture, educational institutions can improve operational efficiency, innovation, and resilience, enabling them to respond proactively to emerging challenges such as digital transformation, policy reforms, and evolving student needs.

7. Limitations and Future Research

Despite its contributions, the study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional research design restricts the ability to infer causality between QEI and organizational performance. Longitudinal or mixed-methods studies could provide deeper insights into how QEI evolves over time and its long-term impact on institutional outcomes. Second, the study's scope was limited to educational institutions in Villupuram District, which may constrain the generalizability of the findings. Future research could expand the sample across multiple districts, states, or countries to validate and extend the results. Third, while this study focused on traditional educational leadership, emerging trends such as digital leadership, ICT integration, and online pedagogical innovation were not directly examined. Incorporating these variables could yield richer contextual understanding of QEI's role in modern educational ecosystems. Lastly, additional moderating and mediating factors—such as organizational culture, faculty engagement, or emotional contagion—could be explored in future studies to provide a more nuanced understanding of the QEI–OP relationship.

8. Conclusion

The study establishes Quantum Emotional Intelligence (QEI) as a pivotal force in enhancing Organizational Performance (OP) within educational institutions. The dual mechanisms of emotional coherence and emotional divergence enable leaders to navigate complexity with balance, agility, and empathy, fostering innovation, collaboration, and adaptability. QEI functions as both an individual and systemic property, shaping leadership effectiveness and influencing institutional outcomes across hierarchical levels. By integrating QEI into leadership

development frameworks, educational institutions can cultivate resilient, adaptive, and high-performing cultures capable of thriving amid rapid educational transformation, policy changes, and technological disruptions. Ultimately, the study underscores that fostering emotionally intelligent leadership is not merely a personal development initiative but a strategic organizational imperative for sustaining excellence in contemporary educational environments.

9. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the educational leaders who participated in this study and the institutions that supported our research. We also appreciate the guidance provided by our academic colleagues during the research process.

10. Author Contribution Statements

Each of the mentioned authors has approved the work and contributed significantly, directly, and intellectually

11. Conflict Of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

12. Ethics Approval

Informed consent was secured from all participants prior to data collection.

13. Funding

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received from any organisation for the submitted work

References

1. Aartheeswari, E., & Kochhar, V. I. (2026). Marshmallow Test for Faculty Emotional Intelligence. International Journal of Drug Delivery Technology, 16(63s), 592–602. https://doi.org/10.25258/ijddt.16.63s.61
2. Aartheeswari, E., & Kochhar, V. I. (2026). Emotional Intelligence and Digital Leadership: Driving E-Government Transformation Through ICT. In ICT: Applications and Social Interfaces (pp. 105–118). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-19672-9_10
3. Boyatzis, R. E. (2018). The behavioral level of emotional intelligence and its measurement. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 1438. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01438
4. Boyatzis, R. E., et al. (2019). Emotional intelligence competencies in the workplace. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 40(5), 566–589.
5. Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., & Salovey, P. (2011). Emotional intelligence: Implications for personal, social, academic, and workplace success. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5(1), 88–103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00334.x
6. Cherniss, C. (2010). Emotional intelligence: Toward clarification of a concept. Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 3(2), 110–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2010.01231.x
7. Chin, W. W., et al. (2003). A partial least squares latent variable modeling approach for measuring interaction effects: Results from a Monte Carlo simulation study and an electronic-mail emotion/adoption study. Information Systems Research, 14(2), 189–217. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.14.2.189.16018
8. Field, A. (2013). Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics. Sage Publications.
9. Fisher, R., & Ury, W. (2021). Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in (4th ed.). Penguin.
10. George, D., & Mallery, P. (2022). IBM SPSS Statistics 27 Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference. Routledge.
11. Goleman, D. (1998). Working with emotional intelligence. Bantam Books.
12. Hair, J. F., et al. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). Sage Publications.
13. Hodzic, S., et al. (2021). Emotional intelligence and leadership effectiveness: A meta-analysis. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 42(2), 157–183. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2472
14. Hodzic, S., Schubert, C., & Schmid, U. (2021). Emotional intelligence and transformational leadership in education. Leadership and Policy in Schools, 20(3), 469–488. https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2020.1734204
15. Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). Emotional intelligence: Theory, findings, and implications. Psychological Inquiry, 8(3), 197–215. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0803_01
16. Mayer, J. D., et al. (2008). Emotional intelligence and the identification of emotion. Emotion, 8(4), 506–520. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013498
17. Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., & Qian, S. (2018). A meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and work attitudes. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 91(3), 503–527. https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12209
18. Miller, W. R., & Stiver, I. P. (2019). Quantum emotional intelligence: A new paradigm for leadership. Journal of Leadership Studies, 13(4), 6–18.

19. Pallant, J. (2020). SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using IBM SPSS. McGraw-Hill Education.
20. Zohar, D. (2020). Quantum leadership: Advancing emotional intelligence and systemic thinking in organizations. Routledge.