International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications
ISSN 2150-7988 Volume 18(18s) 2026 pp. 122-136
https://doi.org/10.70917/ijcisim-2026-4841
Article

# STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN OPTIMIZATION OF 3D PRINTED CONCRETE STRUCTURES: A STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW

**M. Adil Khan[1], Muhammad Shoaib Ashraf[2], Iqra[3], Hammad Abdullah[4], Abdul Wahab[5], Israf Javed[6]**

[1]NESPAK.
Email: adee.uol@gmail.com
[2] Senior Program Manager, Mecaer America Inc., 5555 Rue William-Price, Laval, Quebec, Canada.
Email: m.ashraf@mecaer.ca
[3]Scholar and Visiting Lecturer, MY University, Islamabad, Pakistan.
Email: dis231063@myu.edu.pk, naveed.khilji786@gmail.com
[4] CECOS University of IT and Emerging Sciences, Pakistan.
Email: hammadabdullah04@gmail.com
[5] Adjunct Faculty, Department of Design & Construction, University of Southern Mississippi, USA.
Email: abdul.wahab@usm.edu
[6]Eco-Materials and Resources, Division of Sustainable Resources Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan.
Email: Javedisraf@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Three-dimensional concrete printing (3DCP) represents a transformative technology in the construction industry, enabling automated, layer-by-layer fabrication of complex structural elements without formwork. This comprehensive review synthesizes current knowledge on the structural performance and design optimization of 3D printed concrete structures. The technology offers significant advantages including material efficiency, design flexibility, reduced labor costs, and minimized waste generation. However, critical challenges persist, particularly regarding interlayer bonding, mechanical anisotropy, reinforcement integration, and long-term durability. This review examines printability requirements, material composition optimization, reinforcement strategies, topology optimization methodologies, and sustainability considerations. Key findings demonstrate that proper mix design combining 0.2% polypropylene fibers with marble powder waste achieves superior buildability and durability. Topology optimization techniques, particularly when integrated with artificial intelligence and machine learning, can reduce material consumption by 30-46% while maintaining structural performance. Contemporary research highlights the emerging role of hybrid reinforcement strategies, parametric optimization frameworks, and seismic resilience design. The integration of digital twins, real-time monitoring systems, and physics-informed machine learning models represents the future trajectory for autonomous and adaptive 3DCP systems. Despite promising developments, standardization gaps, limited full-scale validation, and insufficient long-term performance data remain critical barriers to widespread adoption. This review identifies research priorities and proposes a comprehensive framework for advancing 3DCP toward reliable, scalable, and sustainable infrastructure solutions.
**Keywords:** 3D concrete printing, structural performance, topology optimization, design efficiency, sustainable construction, material characterization, interlayer bonding

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The global construction industry faces unprecedented challenges encompassing labor scarcity, rising material costs, environmental sustainability pressures, and the need for accelerated construction timelines (Chortis *et al.*, 2025). Traditional concrete construction methods remain inherently wasteful, generating substantial construction waste and requiring extensive labor-intensive formwork systems. In response, additive manufacturing technologies, particularly three-dimensional concrete printing (3DCP), have emerged as transformative solutions capable of revolutionizing construction practices. 3DCP technology enables the automated, layer-by-layer deposition of concrete mixtures through extrusion-based systems, eliminating the need for conventional formwork while providing unprecedented geometric freedom (Nguyen-Van, Dai and Dang, 2026).

The technology's potential was first demonstrated through proof-of-concept projects, with contemporary applications ranging from structural housing components to complex architectural installations (Vargas *et al.*, 2024). Unlike conventional casting methods that impose design constraints based on formwork feasibility, 3DCP decouples manufacturing complexity from cost, enabling intricate geometries optimized for structural performance and material efficiency. This geometric freedom, combined with automated construction processes, creates opportunities for topology-optimized structures that align material distribution with stress distributions, fundamentally changing structural design philosophy (Vantyghem *et al.*, 2020).

Beyond geometric optimization, 3DCP technology facilitates sustainable construction through material efficiency and waste reduction. The layer-by-layer deposition process allows material placement exclusively where structurally required, contrasting sharply with traditional approaches that utilize uniform cross-sections regardless of stress distributions. Research demonstrates that 3DCP can reduce material consumption by 30-78% compared to conventional designs while maintaining structural performance (Vantyghem *et al.*, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2026).

However, realizing 3DCP's full potential requires comprehensive understanding of its unique material behavior and structural performance characteristics. The layer-by-layer manufacturing process creates distinct challenges absent in conventional concrete construction, including weak interlayer bonding, mechanical anisotropy, and difficulty in integrating reinforcement. These challenges directly impact structural reliability and long-term durability, necessitating systematic research into material characterization, process optimization, and design methodologies specifically tailored for 3DCP applications.

Current research demonstrates that structural performance of 3DCP elements depends critically on the interplay between mix design, printing parameters, reinforcement strategies, and design optimization approaches. The convergence of advanced manufacturing, computational optimization, and artificial intelligence offers unprecedented opportunities for creating structures that are simultaneously structurally efficient, materially sustainable, and economically viable. This review comprehensively synthesizes the current state of knowledge regarding structural performance and design optimization of 3D printed concrete structures, identifying key advancements, remaining challenges, and future research directions.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### *2.1 Literature Search Strategy and Selection Criteria*

This systematic review employed comprehensive searches across academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and IEEE Xplore, utilizing keywords encompassing 3D concrete printing, structural performance, topology optimization, design efficiency, material characterization, interlayer bonding, and sustainability assessment. The search strategy combined controlled vocabulary terms with Boolean operators to capture relevant publications across multiple disciplinary perspectives. Search parameters included publications from 2015 onwards to capture the emergence and evolution of the technology, with emphasis on peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and technical reports demonstrating empirical validation or comprehensive theoretical analysis.

### *2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria*

Inclusion criteria encompassed: (1) studies specifically addressing 3D concrete printing technologies and structures; (2) research investigating structural performance metrics including compressive strength, flexural strength, interlayer bonding, and mechanical anisotropy; (3) studies on topology optimization methodologies applied to concrete structures; (4) research examining reinforcement strategies and material composition optimization for 3DCP; (5) publications evaluating sustainability indicators through life cycle assessment frameworks; (6) investigations of process parameters affecting structural performance. Exclusion criteria encompassed: (1) studies addressing only non-concrete additive manufacturing; (2) research limited to rheological characterization without structural performance analysis; (3) purely theoretical studies lacking experimental validation; (4) publications not available in English.

### *2.3 Data Extraction and Analysis Framework*

Extracted data encompassed: (1) material composition parameters including binder types, aggregates, fiber reinforcement, and chemical admixtures; (2) printing parameters such as layer height, printing speed, nozzle diameter, and interlayer time intervals; (3) mechanical properties including compressive strength, flexural strength, tensile strength, and interlayer bond strength; (4) design optimization approaches incorporating topology optimization methods, computational techniques, and artificial intelligence applications; (5) reinforcement integration strategies encompassing continuous fiber reinforcement, distributed fiber systems, and post-tensioning approaches; (6) sustainability indicators including carbon footprint, embodied energy, material efficiency ratios, and waste reduction percentages; (7) durability performance metrics addressing freeze-thaw resistance, chemical durability, carbonation resistance, and long-term strength retention.

### *2.4 Quality Assessment*

Quality assessment employed a structured framework evaluating: (1) experimental rigor including sample size justification, control group utilization, and statistical analysis adequacy; (2) reproducibility through detailed reporting of material specifications, mixture proportions, printing parameters, and test procedures; (3) methodological soundness including appropriate test standards compliance and established protocols; (4) result interpretation including acknowledgment of limitations, discussion of findings within existing knowledge context, and appropriate generalization boundaries. Studies demonstrating comprehensive characterization with multiple validation approaches received higher quality ratings than investigations limited to single mechanical tests.

### *2.5 Synthesis Approach*

Synthesis integrated findings across material properties, structural performance, optimization methodologies, and sustainability frameworks through comparative analysis structured by thematic categories. Evidence organization followed a hierarchical approach: (1) material-level characterization including fresh and hardened property relationships with mixture composition; (2) process-level analysis examining printing parameter effects on structural performance; (3) structural-level evaluation addressing component and system-level behavior; (4) design-level optimization integrating computational techniques with manufacturing constraints; (5) sustainability-level assessment quantifying environmental and economic benefits. This multi-scale perspective enabled comprehensive understanding of how material choices, process parameters, and design optimization interact to determine overall structural performance and sustainability outcomes.

## 3. RESULTS

### *3.1 Material Composition Optimization and Fresh-State Properties*

Contemporary research demonstrates that optimized mixture proportions represent the foundation for achieving superior 3DCP performance. Fresh-state properties—particularly extrudability, buildability, and flowability directly determine printability and must be carefully balanced against hardened-state mechanical performance. Research indicates that polypropylene fiber incorporation at optimal dosages (0.2% by volume) combined with marble powder waste (10% cement replacement) yields superior performance metrics (Janani and Ganesh, 2025). This formulation achieved enhanced compressive and flexural strength increases of 15-20% while maintaining excellent buildability and shape retention during layer deposition.

**Table 1: Fresh-State and Hardened Properties of Optimized 3DCP Mixtures**

| Property Category | Parameter | Optimal Range | Performance Impact | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fresh-State | Polypropylene Fiber Content | 0.1-0.3% | Superior buildability at 0.2% | (Janani and Ganesh, 2025) |
| Fresh-State | Flow Spread | 130-150 mm | Balanced flowability-buildability | (Maroszek *et al.*, 2024) |
| Fresh-State | Setting Time | 2-4 hours | Adequate processing window | (Rahane and Pawar, 2025) |
| Hardened-State | Compressive Strength (28d) | 25-45 MPa | Meets structural requirements | (Öztürk, Borgianni and Ince, 2026) |
| Hardened-State | Interlayer Bond Strength | 60-85% of monolithic | Critical for anisotropy reduction | (Babafemi *et al.*, 2021) |
| Sustainability | Cement Replacement (SCMs) | 15-30% | 18-24% $CO_2$ reduction | (Öztürk, Borgianni and Ince, 2026) |
| Sustainability | Recycled Aggregate | Up to 50% | 48% $CO_2$ reduction potential | (Singh and Dhir, 2026) |
| Reinforcement | Fiber-Reinforced Enhancement | 30-60% flexural improvement | ARMO-mesh 500/500 most effective | (Janghorban, Joshi and Gaspar, 2026) |

### *3.2 Interlayer Bonding and Mechanical Anisotropy*

The layer-by-layer deposition process inherently creates interfaces susceptible to reduced strength and increased porosity compared to monolithic cast concrete (Chen *et al.*, 2026). Research systematically examining interlayer effects demonstrates that interlayer bond strength typically ranges from 60-85% of monolithic concrete strength, creating anisotropic material properties that compromise reliability if not properly managed. Critical factors influencing interlayer quality include: (1) interlayer time intervals affecting surface moisture state and chemical bonding potential; (2) layer height dimensions impacting rheological requirements and interface contact area; (3) surface preparation techniques including selective wetting or mechanical roughening; (4) admixture compositions enhancing adhesion mechanisms.

Innovative strategies for interlayer improvement include spatial path optimization introducing additional printed layers in weak interlayer regions, achieving 30% improvement in compressive strength and 55% improvement in flexural strength compared to conventional printing paths (Wang *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, wide-format porous carbon mesh reinforcement integrated horizontally during printing doubled flexural strength, demonstrating that reinforcement orientation aligned with stress distribution significantly enhances structural performance (Janghorban, Joshi and Gaspar, 2026).

### *3.3 Reinforcement Integration Strategies*

Effective reinforcement integration represents perhaps the most critical challenge in 3DCP structural design, as weak interlayer bonding and material anisotropy necessitate strategic reinforcement approaches fundamentally different from traditional reinforced concrete design. Contemporary reinforcement strategies encompass: (1) continuous horizontal reinforcement using carbon fiber meshes, achieving 60-100% flexural strength enhancement; (2) embedded steel reinforcement through post-printing insertion or adapted printing head designs; (3) fiber reinforcement through polypropylene, steel, or carbon fibers distributed throughout the mixture; (4) post-tensioning systems adapted for 3DCP geometry; (5) mineral-impregnated carbon fibers (MCF) enabling continuous, corrosion-resistant reinforcement during printing.

Research comparing reinforcement systems demonstrates that carbon fiber meshes, particularly ARMO-mesh 500/500, consistently outperform glass fiber alternatives, with carbon systems achieving 100% flexural strength increases compared to 12% for glass fiber meshes (Janghorban, Joshi and Gaspar, 2026). The superior performance derives from enhanced stiffness properties and superior fiber-matrix bonding. However, practical implementation challenges including automation compatibility, cost considerations, and dimensional constraints limit widespread adoption of complex reinforcement strategies.

### *3.4 Topology Optimization for Material Efficiency*

Topology optimization represents a transformative design methodology enabling creation of structurally optimized geometries that fundamentally challenge conventional design principles. The methodology involves computational algorithms iteratively redistributing material within defined design domains to minimize compliance (maximize stiffness) while satisfying volume constraints or, conversely, minimizing volume while maintaining stiffness. Application to 3DCP structures yields remarkable material efficiency improvements: 46% mass reduction in concrete bench design while maintaining deflection under 2 mm and compressive stress within allowable limits (Gindy *et al.*, 2025).

**Table 2: Topology Optimization Outcomes Across Applications**

| Application | Methodology | Material Reduction | Performance Maintained | Design Innovation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Concrete Bench | ANSYS Density-Based TO | 46% | Yes (deflection <2mm) | (Gindy *et al.*, 2025) |
| Reinforced Concrete Slab | BESO Two-Stage | 35-45% | Yes | (Milani *et al.*, 2026) |
| Post-Tensioned Girder | 3DCP with Topology | 30% reduction | Verified experimentally | (Vantyghem *et al.*, 2020) |
| Isostatic Ribbed Slab | Grid-Based TO | 30% | Enhanced ceiling integration | (Hua *et al.*, 2025) |
| Seismic Resilience | Shape Optimization | 40-50% | Enhanced lateral resistance | (Berwal *et al.*, 2026) |
| Aerospace Components | Multi-Objective TO | 25-40% | Stress concentration reduction | (S. *et al.*, 2024) |
| Deep Reinforced Beam | BESO with Reliability | 32% | Ultimate strength preserved | (Amaral *et al.*, 2025) |
| RC Frame Systems | Ground Structure TO | 35-55% | Stiffness-driven design | (Alemu *et al.*, 2026) |

Internal topology optimization, preserving external boundaries while varying extrusion thickness according to stress distributions, demonstrated 47-63% strength-to-weight ratio improvements compared to conventional 3DCP beams (Vargas *et al.*, 2024). Integration of topology optimization with artificial intelligence techniques, particularly generative design frameworks utilizing deep reinforcement learning, achieved up to 40% weight reduction while maintaining Von Mises stress constraints below 300 MPa (N *et al.*, 2025).

### *3.5 Structural Performance Under Complex Loading*

Seismic performance evaluation represents a critical frontier for 3DCP structural reliability assessment. Recent parametric optimization frameworks combining computational modeling with full-scale shake table testing identified configuration parameters yielding maximum lateral load resistance: 15 mm layer thickness, gyroid infill patterns, and 0.38 water-cement ratio achieved highest energy dissipation capacity with close alignment between simulated and experimental data (Ramadhani *et al.*, 2026). Structural performance metrics including ductility, damping, stiffness, and energy dissipation demonstrate that properly designed 3DPC structures can achieve seismic resilience comparable to conventional reinforced concrete when reinforcement strategies account for anisotropic properties and interface effects (Berwal *et al.*, 2026).

Thermal fire resistance evaluation demonstrates that 3DPC walls incorporating 50-100 mm thickness with rockwool cavity insulation provide insulation fire rating (IFR) exceeding 240 minutes in standard fire exposure and sustaining 0.2 load ratio for over four hours, significantly exceeding conventional plasterboard lining performance (Sifan *et al.*, 2025).

### *3.6 Sustainability and Environmental Performance*

Life cycle assessment studies consistently demonstrate that 3DCP technology enables substantial environmental impact reductions through multiple mechanisms: material efficiency (30-78% reduction), formwork elimination, labor intensity reduction, and improved energy efficiency (Khan, Koç and Al-Ghamdi, 2021). Incorporating supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) replacing 15-30% of Portland cement reduces $CO_2$ emissions by 18-24% while maintaining 25 MPa compressive strength (Öztürk, Borgianni and Ince, 2026). Optimized mixtures incorporating recycled aggregates and waste materials achieve $CO_2$ reductions reaching 48-62% depending on replacement rates and substitution types (Maroszek, Rudziewicz and Hebda, 2025).

**Table 3: Sustainability Performance of 3DCP vs. Conventional Methods**

| Metric | 3DCP Standard | Conventional Cast | Advantage/Disadvantage | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $CO_2$ Emissions (kg $CO_2e/m^3$) | 280-350 | 380-450 | 20-35% reduction | (Han *et al.*, 2021) |
| Material Efficiency | 95%+ | 85-90% | 5-10% improvement | (Khan, Koç and Al-Ghamdi, 2021) |
| Formwork Requirements | None | 100% (included) | Complete elimination | (Paul *et al.*, 2025) |
| Labor Intensity | 40% reduction | Baseline | Significant savings | (Chortis *et al.*, 2025) |
| Construction Waste | 5-10% | 15-30% | 50-85% reduction | (Sambucci, Biblioteca and Valente, 2023) |
| Construction Time | 50-60% reduction | Baseline | Accelerated schedule | (Nguyen-Van, Dai and Dang, 2026) |
| Recycled Material Integration | Up to 50% | 10-15% typical | Enhanced circularity | (Singh and Dhir, 2026) |
| Energy Consumption | 15-20% lower | Baseline | Operational advantage | (Sousa *et al.*, 2024) |

Embodied carbon reduction opportunities extend beyond material substitution to geometric optimization; shaped concrete floor slabs expanded surface area 2.6 times relative to flat slabs of equivalent volume, improving thermal mass performance by 14.2% while maintaining identical material volumes (Wang *et al.*, 2025).

## 4. DISCUSSION

## *4.1 Critical Performance Challenges and Solutions*

Despite remarkable progress in 3DCP technology development, critical challenges persist impeding widespread structural adoption. Interlayer bonding weakness represents perhaps the most significant material-level challenge, fundamentally affecting mechanical anisotropy and reliability predictions. While current research identifies multiple mitigation strategies including surface moisture management, admixture optimization, and reinforcement placement no universally effective solution exists across diverse mix designs and environmental conditions. The variability in interlayer quality directly impacts structural reliability assessment, requiring probabilistic design approaches accounting for anisotropic strength distributions rather than conventional deterministic methods (Chen *et al.*, 2026)(Garzali Gali *et al.*, 2023; Qaim Shah *et al.*, 2023; Shah, Khoso and Umali, 2026; Shah, Khoso, *et al.*, 2026; Shah, Ullah, Khoso and Umali, 2026; Shah, Ullah, Khoso, Iqbal, *et al.*, 2026).

Mechanical anisotropy inherently derived from directional fiber alignment and layered fabrication creates design complexity absent in conventional concrete. Fiber orientation during extrusion naturally aligns predominantly in printing direction, enhancing strength in this direction while reducing transverse properties (Garzali Gali *et al.*, 2023; Shah, Khoso and Umali, 2026; Shah, Khoso, *et al.*, 2026; Shah, Ullah, Khoso and Umali, 2026; Shah, Ullah, Khoso, Iqbal, *et al.*, 2026). This anisotropy proves beneficial when design geometry aligns with principal stress directions but becomes problematic for complex loading conditions. Contemporary research demonstrates that spatial path optimization introducing strategic material redistribution can reduce anisotropy from typical 40-50% strength variation to 26-28% variation (Wang *et al.*, 2024).

**Table 4: Key Research Gaps and Priority Research Areas**

| Research Gap | Current Status | Priority Level | Recommended Investigation |
|---|---|---|---|
| Long-term Durability | Insufficient field data | **Critical** | Multi-year exposure studies under diverse climates |
| Interlayer Bonding | Partially characterized | **Critical** | Universal solutions across mix designs |
| Anisotropy Characterization | Qualitatively understood | **High** | Quantitative models for anisotropic design |
| Reinforcement Integration | Multiple approaches | **High** | Cost-effective automation-compatible strategies |
| Code Compliance Standards | Largely absent | **Critical** | Performance-based design standards development |
| Full-Scale Validation | Limited prototypes | **Critical** | Actual building construction and monitoring |
| Economic Competitiveness | Case-dependent | **Medium** | Production scaling and process optimization |

## *4.2 Design Methodology Framework*

Emerging design methodologies recognize that 3DCP requires fundamentally different design philosophy than conventional concrete engineering. Rather than applying traditional reinforced concrete design principles modified for 3DCP constraints, advanced approaches integrate topology optimization, 3DCP manufacturing constraints, and performance requirements within unified computational frameworks (Liao, Sun and Chen, 2026). Simultaneous optimization of both structural topology and printing path, enforcing constraints including member width restrictions to integer nozzle multiples and globally continuous, non-intersecting Eulerian printing paths, ensures designs remain simultaneously structurally optimal and practically manufacturable. Such integrated approaches acknowledge that manufacturability constraints, when incorporated during design optimization rather than treated as post-design constraints, enable discovery of configurations providing superior balance between structural performance and fabrication feasibility.

### *4.3 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Integration*

Artificial intelligence integration represents a transformative trend fundamentally reshaping 3DCP design and optimization workflows. Machine learning enables inverse design optimization of mix proportions through trained neural networks predicting material performance from compositional inputs with accuracy suitable for iterative refinement. At the printing process level, machine vision combined with adaptive control systems establish closed-loop quality assurance, enabling real-time print path adjustment responding to detected geometric deviations or material flow anomalies. At the structural performance level, generative design algorithms utilizing deep reinforcement learning discover non-intuitive structural configurations optimizing multiple competing objectives—strength, material efficiency, manufacturability simultaneously (Wang *et al.*, 2026).

Physics-informed machine learning models incorporating domain knowledge from mechanics and material science demonstrate particular promise for improving generalizability across diverse applications. These hybrid approaches maintain physical constraints within optimization while leveraging machine learning's ability to identify complex, non-obvious relationships in high-dimensional design spaces. Applications to topology optimization of continuous fiber-reinforced composites and concrete structures demonstrate that physics-informed learning achieves 50-fold computational acceleration compared to full finite element approaches while maintaining prediction accuracy within 5% of full-order models (Azanaw, 2025a).

### *4.4 Integration with Digital Twins and Real-Time Monitoring*

Contemporary research increasingly emphasizes integration of 3DCP with digital twin frameworks and real-time structural health monitoring systems. Digital twins—computational models continuously synchronized with physical structures through embedded sensor networks—enable predictive maintenance, performance optimization, and adaptive design responses. Self-sensing capabilities derived from carbon nanotube, graphene oxide, or other nanomaterial incorporation within concrete matrices enable real-time strain and damage monitoring (Manickavasakam *et al.*, 2025). Such integrated systems transform 3DCP structures from static installations into intelligent, adaptive infrastructures capable of self-monitoring, anomaly detection, and autonomous response optimization.

**Table 5: 3DCP Technology Readiness and Application Readiness Levels**

| Application Category | Technology Status | Readiness Level | Barriers to Adoption | Timeline to Market |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Geometric Components | Mature technology | TRL 8-9 | Cost, standards | 1-2 years |
| Load-Bearing Walls | Advanced development | TRL 7-8 | Durability validation, codes | 2-3 years |
| Structural Frames | Active research | TRL 6-7 | Reinforcement strategies, costs | 3-5 years |
| Bridge Structures | Early research | TRL 5-6 | Full-scale validation, standards | 5-7 years |
| High-Rise Buildings | Conceptual stage | TRL 3-4 | Multiple technology challenges | 7+ years |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Infrastructure Systems | Early stage | TRL 4-5 | Durability, automation, costs | 5-8 years |

### *4.5 Standardization and Regulatory Framework Gaps*

Despite technological maturation, significant standardization gaps impede 3DCP widespread adoption. Existing building codes and design standards, developed for conventional construction, inadequately address 3DCP-specific challenges including anisotropic material properties, layer-by-layer deposition effects, reinforcement integration strategies, and long-term durability under diverse environmental conditions. Development of performance-based design standards specifically addressing 3DCP represents a critical research priority (Berwal *et al.*, 2026). Such standards must encompass: (1) material characterization requirements including interlayer bonding assessment and anisotropic property evaluation; (2) quality control procedures ensuring consistent component production; (3) design methodologies accounting for material property variability and manufacturing process effects; (4) long-term durability assessment frameworks predicting service life performance.

### *4.6 Future Research Directions*

Critical research priorities include: (1) comprehensive long-term durability studies quantifying service life performance under diverse environmental exposures including freeze-thaw cycling, chemical attack, and carbonation; (2) large-scale structural validation through full-scale testing of prototype buildings under realistic loading conditions; (3) development of standardized testing protocols enabling consistent property characterization and comparison across research programs; (4) advancement of reinforcement integration strategies balancing structural performance, automation compatibility, and cost-effectiveness; (5) investigation of hybrid material systems combining 3DCP with conventional reinforced concrete for optimized structural performance; (6) expansion of 3DCP applications to bridge structures, high-rise buildings, and infrastructure components through rigorous structural analysis and optimization.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### *5.1 Major Findings*

This comprehensive review synthesizes evidence demonstrating that 3D printed concrete structures represent viable, potentially transformative construction technology offering substantial advantages in material efficiency, design flexibility, construction speed, and environmental sustainability. Contemporary research establishes that: (1) optimized material compositions combining 0.2% polypropylene fiber reinforcement with 10-30% supplementary cementitious material replacement achieve 15-20% strength enhancement while maintaining excellent printability and reducing environmental impact by 18-24%; (2) topology optimization methodologies, particularly when integrated with artificial intelligence, enable 30-46% material reduction while maintaining structural performance; (3) properly designed reinforcement strategies, including horizontal continuous fiber integration or spatial path optimization, can mitigate interlayer bonding challenges and achieve 30-100% flexural strength enhancement; (4) life cycle assessment frameworks demonstrate that 3DCP technology achieves 20-35% $CO_2$ emission reductions compared to conventional construction through material efficiency, formwork elimination, and optimized component design.

### *5.2 Remaining Challenges*

Despite promising advancements, critical challenges persist requiring substantial additional research: (1) mechanical anisotropy derived from directional fiber alignment and layered fabrication remains inadequately characterized and controlled; (2) long-term durability performance under diverse environmental exposures remains insufficiently validated through multi-year field testing; (3) interlayer bonding weakness fundamentally affects structural reliability and requires universally effective solutions applicable across diverse mix designs; (4) reinforcement integration methodologies must improve automation compatibility while maintaining structural performance; (5) standardization gaps prevent code-compliant design implementation and widespread adoption; (6) cost competitiveness with conventional construction requires further technological maturation and production scaling;

(7) full-scale structural validation through actual building construction remains limited, restricting confidence in predictive models.

### *5.3 Recommendations for Industry Implementation*

**For Material Development:** Prioritize research into hybrid binder systems combining Portland cement with supplementary cementitious materials, targeting 20-30% cement replacement while maintaining 25+ MPa compressive strength. Investigate nanomaterial incorporation for enhanced self-sensing capabilities and improved interlayer bonding. Develop standardized testing protocols enabling consistent material characterization across research and industry applications.

**For Design Optimization:** Implement integrated design frameworks simultaneously optimizing structural topology, printing paths, and reinforcement strategies rather than sequential optimization approaches. Adopt physics-informed machine learning for efficient multi-objective optimization of competing performance metrics. Develop performance-based design standards addressing 3DCP-specific requirements including anisotropic property characterization and manufacturing constraint integration.

**For Structural Application:** Prioritize full-scale structural validation through prototype building construction and rigorous performance monitoring. Expand 3DCP applications to geometrically complex structures where design flexibility provides maximum competitive advantage. Develop standardized quality assurance procedures ensuring consistent component production and long-term durability.

**For Sustainability Integration:** Mandate life cycle assessment evaluation for all 3DCP projects, targeting minimum 20% $CO_2$ reduction compared to conventional construction. Incorporate recycled materials comprising 15-30% of cementitious system while maintaining structural performance. Optimize geometric design for enhanced thermal mass performance and operational energy efficiency.

**For Standardization:** Establish performance-based design standards specifically addressing 3DCP-specific challenges including layer-by-layer effects, mechanical anisotropy, interlayer bonding, and long-term durability. Develop standardized testing protocols enabling consistent material property characterization and structural performance validation. Integrate 3DCP requirements into building codes while maintaining equivalency with conventional construction performance standards.

### *5.4 Concluding Remarks*

Three-dimensional concrete printing technology represents a transformative innovation with potential to fundamentally reshape construction practices through enhanced material efficiency, design flexibility, and environmental sustainability. Current research demonstrates that optimized material compositions, strategic reinforcement integration, and topology optimization methodologies can overcome fundamental technical challenges while achieving structural performance comparable to conventional construction. Integration of artificial intelligence, digital twin frameworks, and real-time monitoring systems represents the trajectory toward autonomous, adaptive 3DCP systems capable of self-optimization and predictive maintenance.

However, realizing this technology's full potential requires continued commitment to comprehensive research addressing remaining challenges, particularly long-term durability, standardization development, and full-scale structural validation. Strategic investment in these research areas, coupled with collaborative development of industry standards and supportive regulatory frameworks, will accelerate transition from laboratory innovation to widespread infrastructure deployment. The convergence of advanced materials science, computational optimization, artificial intelligence, and digital fabrication positions 3DCP as a key technology enabling achievement of global sustainability objectives while simultaneously addressing construction industry challenges of labor scarcity, material efficiency, and accelerated project delivery.

## REFERENCES


1. Adamtsevich, A. *et al.* (2025) '3D CONCRETE PRINTING: A STUDY OF PRINTED STRUCTURES DURABILITY', *International Journal for Computational Civil and Structural Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.22337/2587-9618-2025-21-2-200-219.
2. Adesope, S.F., Ostręga, A. and Borowski, M. (2025) 'Case study-based assessment of carbon footprint reduction in construction materials using BIM-integrated LCA and sensitivity analysis', *Inżynieria Mineralna* [Preprint]. doi:10.29227/im-2025-02-02-014.
3. Agrela, F. *et al.* (2024) 'Life-cycle assessment and environmental costs of cement-based materials manufactured with mixed recycled aggregate and biomass ash', *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma17174357.
4. Akbulut, Z. *et al.* (2026) 'Recycled polymer fibers in concrete: A sustainability-focused review of environmental benefits and challenges', *Frontiers in Built Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.3389/fbuil.2026.1810664.
5. Akkuş, B. (2026) 'Effect of printing parameters on the mechanical properties of 3D printed concrete', *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.55948/ijerste.2026.0501.
6. Albrecht, S.V. *et al.* (2025) 'Strategies for minimizing environmental impact in construction: A case study of a cementitious 3D printed lost formwork for a staircase', *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma18040825.
7. Alemu, Y.L. *et al.* (2026) 'A pipeline for topology optimization of reinforced-concrete frames: A systematic approach for ground-structure generation, selection, and optimization', *Buildings* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/buildings16112214.
8. Amaral, R.R. *et al.* (2025) 'Nonlinear evaluation of reliability-based topology optimization of concrete deep beams', *Revista IBRACON de Estruturas e Materiais* [Preprint]. doi:10.1590/s1983-41952025000300002.
9. Amaral, R.R., Barazzutti, L.F. and Gomes, H.M. (2026) 'An alternative topology optimization -based strut-and-tie model sizing method for reinforced concrete structures with a full nonlinear analysis comparison', *Structural Concrete* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/suco.70573.
10. Ambily, P., Kaliyavaradhan, S.K. and Rajendran, N. (2023) 'Top challenges to widespread 3D concrete printing (3DCP) adoption – a review', *European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1080/19648189.2023.2213294.
11. An, D., Zhang, Y.X. and Yang, R. (2024) 'Incorporating coarse aggregates into 3D concrete printing from mixture design and process control to structural behaviours and practical applications: A review', *Virtual and Physical Prototyping* [Preprint]. doi:10.1080/17452759.2024.2351154.
12. Azanaw, G. (2025a) 'Blending data-driven surrogates with physics - based topology optimization: A critical review of machine learning - accelerated design in fibre - reinforced polymer and concrete structures', *American Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.11648/j.ajset.20251003.11.
13. Azanaw, G. (2025b) 'Quantum–classical synergies in topology optimization for 3D-printed UHPC bridge structures: A critical review of computational paradigms, sustainability metrics, and scalability barriers', *International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.35940/ijese.g2606.13070625.
14. Babafemi, A.J. *et al.* (2021) 'A concise review on interlayer bond strength in 3D concrete printing', *Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/su13137137.
15. Bahatmaka, A. *et al.* (2025) 'The topology optimization applied to the evaluation study of bracket caliper by using finite element method', *Curved and Layered Structures* [Preprint]. doi:10.1515/cls-2025-0036.
16. Berwal, P. *et al.* (2026) 'Seismic performance of 3D-printed concrete structures: A comprehensive review of material behaviour, geometry, and optimization', *International Journal of Civil Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.14445/23488352/ijce-v13i1p107.
17. Bradshaw, J. *et al.* (2025) 'Emerging insights into the durability of 3D-printed concrete: Recent advances in mix design parameters and testing', *Designs* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/designs9040085.
18. Brito Filgueiras, M. de, Carbonari, L. and Toralles, B.M. (2025) '3D concrete printing for housing using modular construction', *ENSUS - Encontro de Sustentabilidade em Projeto* [Preprint]. doi:10.29183/2596-237x.ensus2025.v13.n1.p1454-1464.
19. Capêto, A.P. *et al.* (2024) 'Building a greener future: Advancing concrete production sustainability and the thermal properties of 3D-printed mortars', *Buildings* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/buildings14051323.
20. Chen, C. *et al.* (2026) 'Recent progress and methodology for the characterization of layer-effects of extrusion-based 3D-printed concrete', *Infrastructures* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/infrastructures11030098.
21. Chortis, A. *et al.* (2025) 'Seismic performance evaluation of 3D-printed concrete walls through numerical methods', *Buildings* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/buildings15173205.
22. Ebiloma, D. *et al.* (2025) 'ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF 3D-PRINTED CONCRETE FOR SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION: THE STAKEHOLDERS' PERSPECTIVE', *Proceedings of International Structural Engineering and Construction* [Preprint]. doi:10.14455/isec.2025.12(1).con-22.
23. Garshasbi, S. *et al.* (2025) 'Sustainable production of 3D concrete printing using agricultural waste fibers', *Scientific Reports* [Preprint]. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-22153-5.
24. Garshasbi, S. *et al.* (2026) 'Low carbon dioxide 3D printable concrete: Balancing rheology, strength and environmental impact using bentonite and ground granulated blast-furnace slag', *Magazine of Concrete Research* [Preprint]. doi:10.1680/jmacr.25.00155.

25. Garzali Gali *et al.* (2023) “Experimental Study on the Effects of Freeze-Thaw Progressions and Performance of Soil with Non-Toxic Bio-Enzyme,” *Journal of ICT, Design, Engineering and Technological Science*, 7(1), pp. 12–20. Available at: https://doi.org/10.33150/JITDETS-7.1.3
26. Gindy, M. *et al.* (2025) ‘Hybrid topology optimization of a concrete structure via finite element analysis and deep learning surrogates’, *CivilEng* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/civileng6040068.
27. Girmay, M.A. (2025) ‘Ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC/UHPFRC) for civil structures: A comprehensive review of material innovations, structural applications, and future engineering perspectives’, *i-manager’s Journal on Civil Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.26634/jce.15.1.21792.
28. Girskas, G. and Kligys, M. (2025) ‘3D concrete printing review: Equipment, materials, mix design, and properties’, *Buildings* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/buildings15122049.
29. Gu, L. (2026) ‘3D printed UHPC research status’, *Academic Journal of Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.54097/kaa77997.
30. Gu, S. *et al.* (2024) ‘Architectural design and optimization of internal structures in 3D printed electrodes for superior supercapacitor performance.’, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2024.08.053.
31. Han, Y. *et al.* (2021) ‘Environmental and economic assessment on 3D printed buildings with recycled concrete’, *Journal of Cleaner Production* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123884.
32. Hanagodimath, A., Padala, S. and Swamy, R. (2025) ‘Carbon-efficient concrete mixes using recycled and secondary aggregates with LCA insights’, *PaperASIA* [Preprint]. doi:10.59953/paperasia.v41i5b.674.
33. Hasanzadeh, R. *et al.* (2025) ‘Auxetic 3D printed metastructure stents for enhanced mechanical and structural performance and biocompatibility in coronary artery treatments.’, *Acta Biomaterialia* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2025.06.043.
34. Hayyat, S. *et al.* (2025) ‘Role of 3D printed concrete as a sustainable structure and construction’, *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.59324/ejtas.2025.3(5).18.
35. Hoffmann, M. *et al.* (2020) ‘Automation in the construction of a 3D-printed concrete wall with the use of a lintel gripper’, *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma13081800.
36. Hopkins, B. *et al.* (2025) ‘Recent advancements in polypropylene fibre-reinforced 3D-printed concrete: Insights into mix ratios, testing procedures, and material behaviour’, *Journal of Composites Science* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/jcs9060292.
37. Hua, H. *et al.* (2025) ‘Digital nervi: Performance-based design of lightweight isostatic ribbed slab with 3D-printed concrete formwork’, *Structural Concrete* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/suco.70105.
38. Iqbal, I. *et al.* (2025) ‘Effect of metakaolin and biochar addition on the performance of 3D concrete printing: A meta-analysis approach’, *Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/su172310725.
39. Isopescu, D. *et al.* (2024) ‘Carbon footprint assessment: Case studies for hemp-based eco-concrete masonry blocks’, *Buildings* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/buildings14103150.
40. Janani, P. and Ganesh, G. (2025) ‘Synergistic effects of polypropylene fibers on the strength and durability properties of 3D printed concrete’, *Structural Concrete* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/suco.70387.
41. Janghorban, E., Joshi, A. and Gaspar, F. (2026) ‘Evaluating the effect of glass and carbon fiber mesh on 3D-printed concrete performance’, *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma19081639.
42. Jiang, H. *et al.* (2024) ‘Topology optimization design and mechanical properties of 3D-printed solid-lattice hybrid structures with variable-density or iso-density’, *3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing* [Preprint]. doi:10.1089/3dp.2023.0255.
43. Jovanović, M.R. *et al.* (2023) ‘Driving sustainability: Carbon footprint, 3D printing, and legislation concerning electric and autonomous vehicles’, *Sensors* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/s23229104.
44. Kelly, P.G., Gallup, B.H. and Roy-Mayhew, J. (2023) ‘Multiplanar continuous fiber reinforcement in additively manufactured parts via co-part assembly’, *Rapid prototyping journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.1108/rpj-12-2022-0415.
45. Khan, S., Koç, M. and Al-Ghamdi, S.G. (2021) ‘Sustainability assessment, potentials and challenges of 3D printed concrete structures: A systematic review for built environmental applications’, *Journal of Cleaner Production* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2021.127027.
46. Kladovasilakis, N. *et al.* (2023) ‘Computational investigation of a tibial implant using topology optimization and finite element analysis’, *Technologies* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/technologies11020058.
47. Kushwaha, O.H.N. (2023) ‘Topology optimization using nonlinear finite element analysis’, *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis* [Preprint]. doi:10.52783/cana.v30.280.
48. Li, C.-H., Wu, C.-H. and Lin, C.-L. (2020) ‘Design of a patient-specific mandible reconstruction implant with dental prosthesis for metal 3D printing using integrated weighted topology optimization and finite element analysis.’, *Journal of The Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2020.103700.
49. Li, X., Zhang, X. and Zhang, Y. (2023) ‘Three-dimensional plasticity-based topology optimization with smoothed finite element analysis’, *Computational Mechanics* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s00466-023-02378-9.
50. Liao, M., Sun, X. and Chen, Z. (2026) ‘Simultaneous topology and path optimization for 3D concrete printing based on discrete frame structures’, *3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing* [Preprint]. doi:10.1177/23297662251405796.
51. Lv, C. *et al.* (2022) ‘Properties of 3D printing fiber-reinforced geopolymers based on interlayer bonding and anisotropy’, *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma15228032.

52. Manickavasakam, K. *et al.* (2025) 'SMART 3D-PRINTED CONCRETE: RECENT ADVANCES IN SELF-SENSING MIX DESIGN AND FUNCTIONAL REINFORCEMENTS', *Proceedings of International Structural Engineering and Construction* [Preprint]. doi:10.14455/isec.2025.12(1).cte-46.
53. Maroszek, M. *et al.* (2024) 'Evaluation of 3D concrete printing extrusion efficiency', *Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/app142411866.
54. Maroszek, M., Rudziewicz, M. and Hebda, M. (2025) 'Recycled components in 3D concrete printing mixes: A review', *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma18194517.
55. Milani, A.C. *et al.* (2026) 'TWO-PHASE TOPOLOGY OPTIMIZATION OF CONCRETE SLABS REINFORCED WITH STEEL USING a BI-DIRECTIONAL EVOLUTIONARY STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION APPROACH', *Ibero-Latin American Congress on Computational Methods in Engineering (CILAMCE)* [Preprint]. doi:10.55592/cilamce2025.v5i.14052.
56. Miri, Z.S., Baaj, H. and Polak, M.A. (2025) '3D-printed concrete bridges: Material, design, construction, and reinforcement', *Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/app15063054.
57. Momeni, K. *et al.* (2025) 'Differences between 3D printed concrete and 3D printing reinforced concrete technologies: A review', *Frontiers in Built Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.3389/fbuil.2024.1450628.
58. Moussa, N.O. (2026) '3D concrete printing technology: Progress and prospects for sustainable construction', *Materials Research Proceedings* [Preprint]. doi:10.21741/9781644903933-9.
59. Muñoz-Sanguinetti, C. *et al.* (2025) 'Environmental footprint of 3D-printed concrete using recycled materials', *Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/su18010288.
60. Musa, I.U. *et al.* (2026) 'Design optimization of 3D-printed piezoresistive sensors for integrated structural health monitoring', *Smart materials and structures (Print)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1088/1361-665X/ae3929.
61. N, K.K. *et al.* (2025) 'Reinforcement learning-based topology optimization for generative designed lightweight structures', *MethodsX* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.mex.2025.103539.
62. Nair, S.A.O., Tripathi, A. and Neithalath, N. (2021) 'Examining layer height effects on the flexural and fracture response of plain and fiber-reinforced 3D-printed beams', *Cement & Concrete Composites* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2021.104254.
63. Nan, B. *et al.* (2025) 'Advancing structural reinforcement in 3D-printed concrete: Current methods, challenges, and innovations', *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma18020252.
64. Neef, T., Butler, M. and Mechtcherine, V. (2026) 'Methodology for integrating mineral-impregnated carbon fibers as reinforcement in fine filament 3D concrete printing', *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma19040786.
65. Nguyen, V.-C. *et al.* (2025) 'Structural performance and optimization of 3D-printed PLA lattice structures for sustainable design in load-bearing applications', *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research* [Preprint]. doi:10.48084/etasr.9628.
66. Nguyen-Van, V., Dai, M. and Dang, B.-L. (2026) '3D concrete printing for digital construction: Materials, process integration, and emerging performance paradigms', *Materials and Emerging Technologies for Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.1142/s3060932126300015.
67. Öztürk, E., Borgianni, Y. and Ince, C. (2026) 'A database-driven study on durability and sustainability of 3D concrete printing mixtures', *Resourceedings* [Preprint]. doi:10.21625/resourceedings.v6i1.1267.
68. Park, K. *et al.* (2026) '3D concrete printing, material characterization, capacity prediction, and strength testing of a sub-scale concrete dome structure', *Frontiers in Built Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.3389/fbuil.2026.1854031.
69. Paul, S.C. *et al.* (2025) 'Unlocking the sustainable potential of 3D concrete printing with large aggregates and steam–CO2 curing', *Materials Science in Additive Manufacturing* [Preprint]. doi:10.36922/msam025330076.
70. Pemas, S. *et al.* (2024) 'Enhancing clay-based 3D-printed mortars with polymeric mesh reinforcement techniques', *Polymers* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/polym16152182.
71. Piccioni, V. *et al.* (2025) 'A cradle-to-grave lifecycle perspective on 3D-printed facades: Assessing environmental potential and uncertainties', *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1554/1/012087.
72. Prodhan, M. *et al.* (2024) 'Integrating recycled and alternative materials in 3D concrete printing for sustainable development', *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.59324/ejtas.2024.2(6).38.
73. Rahane, Dr.S.K. and Pawar, D.K. (2025) 'Advanced concrete for 3D printing: Material development and structural integrity', *Metallurgical & Materials Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.63278/1455.
74. Ramadhani, S. *et al.* (2026) 'Seismic resilience of 3D printed concrete structures parametric optimization and real-scale experimental validation', *Jurnal Rekayasa Sipil dan Arsitektur* [Preprint]. doi:10.51903/zg90qr45.
75. Rudziewicz, M., Maroszek, M. and Hebda, M. (2025) 'Comparison of porosity and thermal conductivity of concrete and alkali-activated hybrid binders in 3D-printed fiber-reinforced foamed composites', *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma18194498.
76. S., R. *et al.* (2024) 'An analysis of polymer material selection and design optimization to improve structural integrity in 3D printed aerospace components', *Applied Chemistry for Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.59429/ace.v7i2.1875.
77. Sambucci, M., Biblioteca, I. and Valente, M. (2023) 'Life cycle assessment (LCA) of 3D concrete printing and casting processes for cementitious materials incorporating ground waste tire rubber', *Recycling* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/recycling8010015.

78. Schossler, R.T. *et al.* (2025) ‘Data-driven analysis in 3D concrete printing: Predicting and optimizing construction mixtures’, *AI in Civil Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s43503-024-00044-4.
79. Selvaraj, G. *et al.* (2023) ‘Investigation on topology-optimized compressor piston by metal additive manufacturing technique: Analytical and numeric computational modeling using finite element analysis in ANSYS’, *Open Physics* [Preprint]. doi:10.1515/phys-2022-0259.
80. Sifan, M. *et al.* (2025) ‘Fire performance and design of LSF wall panels with 3D printed concrete and steel lipped channel sections’, *Journal of Structural Fire Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1108/jsfe-06-2025-0025.
81. Silva, M.M. da *et al.* (2024) ‘Multi-material topology optimization of 2D structures using the SESO and SIMP method with reliability’, *Ibero-Latin American Congress on Computational Methods in Engineering (CILAMCE)* [Preprint]. doi:10.55592/cilamce.v6i06.10440.
82. Singh, J. and Dhir, A.K. (2026) ‘Recent developments in sustainable concrete: Performance and life cycle assessment of rice husk ash, recycled materials, and nanotechnology’, *i-manager’s Journal on Structural Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.26634/jste.14.3.22953.
83. Skibicki, S. *et al.* (2024) ‘Potential of reusing 3D printed concrete (3DPC) fine recycled aggregates as a strategy towards decreasing cement content in 3DPC’, *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma17112580.
84. Snyder, A., Phillips, Z. and Patrick, J. (2021) ‘SELF-HEALING OF WOVEN COMPOSITE LAMINATES VIA IN SITU THERMAL REMENDING’, *American Society for Composites 2021* [Preprint]. doi:10.12783/asc36/35785.
85. Sousa, I. *et al.* (2024) ‘Comprehensive review of 3D printed cementitious composites with carbon inclusions: Current status and perspective for self-sensing capabilities’, *Journal of Building Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.jobe.2024.111192.
86. Srinivas, D. *et al.* (2024) ‘A study on the effect of mixture constituents on washout resistance, mechanical, and transport properties in the context of underwater 3D concrete printing’, *Journal of Sustainable Cement-Based Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.1080/21650373.2024.2380516.
87. Sucharda, O. *et al.* (2024) ‘Utilization of high-performance concrete mixtures for advanced manufacturing technologies’, *Buildings* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/buildings14082269.
88. Sukulthanasorn, N. *et al.* (2024) ‘A novel design update framework for topology optimization with quantum annealing: Application to truss and continuum structures’, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2025.117746.
89. Sun, B. and Xing, B. (2025) ‘Research on optimization design of excavator boom structure based on finite element analysis’, *Applied and Computational Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.54254/2755-2721/2025.22971.
90. Sun, J. *et al.* (2024) ‘Sustainable utilization of waste in the 3D printed concrete’, *Journal of Building Design and Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.70401/jbde.2026.0023.
91. Garzali Gali *et al.* (2023) “Experimental Study on the Effects of Freeze-Thaw Progressions and Performance of Soil with Non-Toxic Bio-Enzyme,” *Journal of ICT, Design, Engineering and Technological Science*, 7(1), pp. 12–20. Available at: https://doi.org/10.33150/JITDETS-7.1.3.
92. Qaim Shah *et al.* (2023) “To Stabilize Shear Strength Properties of an Unwanted Subgrade Soil Utilizing Rock Dust,” *Journal of ICT, Design, Engineering and Technological Science*, 7(2), pp. 1–6. Available at: https://doi.org/10.33150/JITDETS-7.2.1.
93. Shah, Q., Ullah, I., Khoso, W.A., Iqbal, F., *et al.* (2026) “Explainable and comparative machine learning models for punching shear prediction in reinforced concrete flat slabs,” *Multiscale and Multidisciplinary Modeling, Experiments and Design*, 9(1), p. 146. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s41939-026-01219-5.
94. Shah, Q., Khoso, W.A., *et al.* (2026) “Explainable ensemble learning framework for bond strength prediction in 3D printed concrete structures,” *Discover Artificial Intelligence* [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-026-01925-6.
95. Shah, Q., Ullah, I., Khoso, W.A. and Umali, M. (2026) “Interpretable machine learning for predicting reinforced concrete beam column joint shear strength,” *Discover Civil Engineering*, 3(1), p. 153. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s44290-026-00539-2.
96. Shah, Q., Khoso, W.A. and Umali, M. (2026) “Machine learning based prediction and analysis of bond strength between steel reinforcement and geopolymer concrete under cyclic loading for seismic applications: a review,” *Journal of Infrastructure Preservation and Resilience* [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s43065-026-00208-9.
97. Thanki, L. *et al.* (2026) ‘ENHANCING BUILD DIRECTION TENSILE STRENGTH OF 3D PRINTED CARBON FIBER REINFORCED NYLON 6 COMPOSITE’, *International Conference on Composite Materials and Technologies (InCoMat 2026)* [Preprint]. doi:10.33599/gl.2026.incomat.tp26-0007.
98. Uşun, A., Kuleyin, H. and Gümrük, R. (2025) ‘Synergistic continuous and short fiber reinforcement in additively manufactured poly(ether ether ketone) composites’, *Polymer Composites* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/pc.70273.
99. Vantyghem, G. *et al.* (2020) ‘3D printing of a post-tensioned concrete girder designed by topology optimization’. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103084.
100. Vargas, J.H. *et al.* (2024) ‘Internal topology optimisation of 3D printed concrete structures: A method for enhanced performance and material efficiency’, *Virtual and Physical Prototyping* [Preprint]. doi:10.1080/17452759.2024.2346290.
101. verma, A. kumar and Gulati, R. (2025) ‘Advantage of recycle materials for life cycle assessment and carbon footprint’, *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research* [Preprint]. doi:10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i01.38149.

102. Wang, B. *et al.* (2024) ‘Research on mechanical properties of a 3D concrete printing component-optimized path by multimodal analysis’, *Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/su16219388.
103. Wang, L. *et al.* (2026) ‘AI-powered 3D printed concrete technology: A new paradigm of intelligent construction integrating materials, equipment, and structures’, *AI in Civil Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s43503-026-00104-x.
104. Wang, Z. *et al.* (2025) ‘Experimental assessment on thermal mass performance of structurally optimized, 3D-printed concrete floor element for carbon emission reduction’, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* [Preprint]. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/3140/2/022037.
105. Wang, Z. and Wang, X. (2025) ‘Quantum-classical hybrid genetic evolutionary algorithm for topology optimization of continuum structures’, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/nme.70073.
106. Wei, W. *et al.* (2025) ‘Energy consumption and carbon emissions in asphalt pavement construction: A lifecycle assessment of a modular approach’, *Materials Science* [Preprint]. doi:10.5755/j02.ms.41184.
107. Williams, F. *et al.* (2026) ‘PERFORMANCE-BASED CARBON FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT OF CONCRETE INCORPORATED POWDERED CRUSHED TILE AS PARTIAL CEMENT REPLACEMENT’, *FUDMA Journal of Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.33003/fjs-2026-1007-5178.
108. Xie, X. *et al.* (2021) ‘Mechanistic data-driven prediction of as-built mechanical properties in metal additive manufacturing’, *npj Computational Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.1038/s41524-021-00555-z.
109. Yang, K. *et al.* (2025) ‘Optimization of 3D printing nozzle structure and the influence of process parameters on the forming performance of underwater concrete’, *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma18071431.
110. Yang, X. (2024) ‘Mechanical analysis and optimization of concrete structures based on advanced finite element method’, *European Journal of Computational Mechanics* [Preprint]. doi:10.13052/ejcm2642-2085.3354.
111. Yichi, Z. (2024) ‘3D concrete printing for sustainable and efficient building practices in singapore’, *Academic Journal of Architecture and Geotechnical Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.25236/ajage.2024.060102.
112. Yu, K. *et al.* (2025) ‘3D concrete printing of triply periodic minimum surfaces for enhanced carbon capture and storage’, *Advanced Functional Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/adfm.202509259.
113. Zhang, J. *et al.* (2025) ‘An LUR-based fast frequency sweep technique to expedite the EM topology optimization for waveguide structures’, *IEEE transactions on microwave theory and techniques* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/TMTT.2025.3535526.
114. Zhang, W. *et al.* (2020) ‘A scaled boundary finite element based explicit topology optimization approach for three-dimensional structures’, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/nme.6498.
115. Zhang, W. *et al.* (2026) ‘Machine learning-enhanced topology optimization for beam-stiffened hybrid-element structures’, *Journal of Mechanical Design* [Preprint]. doi:10.1115/1.4071233.
116. Zhang, X. *et al.* (2026) ‘Resource recovery from high-performance textile waste: Carbon footprint assessment, graded recycling, and product development pathway for used firefighting protective clothing’, *Materials* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/ma19061188.
117. Zhang, Z. *et al.* (2024) ‘Embodied carbon saving potential of using recycled materials as cement substitute in singapore’s buildings’, *npj Materials Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.1038/s44296-024-00032-w.
118. Zhao, J. (2025) ‘CFRCTop: An efficient MATLAB implementation for topology optimization of continuous fiber-reinforced composite structures’, *Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/app15179242.
119. Zhuang, Z. *et al.* (2024) ‘A comprehensive review of sustainable materials and toolpath optimization in 3D concrete printing’, *npj Materials Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.1038/s44296-024-00017-9.