International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications
ISSN 2150-7988 Volume 18(8s) 2026 pp. 1328-1341
https://doi.org/10.70917/ijcisim-2026-6010
Article

Digital Twin Technology in Structural Engineering: Current Developments, Challenges, and Future Directions

Saud Faisal Farooq[1], Dr. M. Adil Khan[2], Dr. Muhammad Shoaib Ahsraf[3], Ar. Dr. Omer Shujat Bhatti[4], Saad Hanif[5], Iqra[6], Nadeem Manzoor Shah[7]

[1] UET Peshawar; Civil Engineer, AECOM, Email: Saudfaisal11@yahoo.com; Saud.farooq@aecom.com
[2] Resident Engineer, NESPAK, Email: adee.uol@gmail.com
[3] Senior Program Manager, Mecaer America Inc., 5555 Rue William-Price, Laval, Quebec, Canada, Email: m.ashraf@mecaer.ca
[4] Associate Professor & CoD, Department of Architecture, School of Architecture & Planning, UMT, Lahore, Pakistan, Email: omer.shujat@umt.edu.pk
[5] Zachry Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, 77843, USA, Email: Saadhanif107@tamu.edu
[6] PhD Research Scholar & Visiting Lecturer, MY University, Islamabad, Pakistan; Teacher, Punjab School Education Department, Pakistan, Email: naveed.khilji786@gmail.com; dis231063@myu.edu.pk
[7] Kazakh-British Technical University, Almaty, Email: n_shah@kbtu.kz

Abstract: S The implementation of digital twin technology in structural engineering faces multifaceted barriers spanning technological, organizational, and regulatory domains. This comprehensive review examines adoption challenges across developing and developed economies, identifying critical success factors and strategic implementation pathways. Technical barriers include data quality assurance, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and the complexity of integrating heterogeneous data sources. Organizational challenges encompass workforce skill gaps, resistance to technological change, and insufficient capital investment. Regulatory gaps include lack of standardized frameworks, incomplete data governance policies, and unclear liability frameworks. The paper synthesizes findings from 47+ peer-reviewed studies to identify priority actions for facilitating adoption. Recommendations include establishing government incentive programs, developing university-level education initiatives, fostering industry partnerships, and creating public-private collaboration models. Success factors include organizational leadership commitment, phased implementation strategies, and pilot projects demonstrating tangible benefits. The review emphasizes that overcoming adoption barriers requires coordinated action across stakeholders, strategic investments in infrastructure and human capital, and development of transparent, accessible frameworks to democratize access to digital twin technology across diverse sectors and geographic regions.

Keywords: Digital Twin, Structural Health Monitoring, Predictive Maintenance, Finite Element Analysis, Infrastructure Resilience

1. INTRODUCTION

Structural engineering faces unprecedented challenges in maintaining aging infrastructure, ensuring public safety, and optimizing operational efficiency in increasingly complex built environments (Li and Brennan, 2024). Traditional inspection methods, often relying on manual assessments and reactive maintenance strategies, struggle to keep pace with the accelerating deterioration of critical infrastructure driven by climate variability, expanded urbanization, and the accumulation of maintenance backlogs spanning decades. Digital twin technology, defined as a virtual, dynamically updated representation of a physical structure that continuously synchronizes with real-time

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors

sensor data, has emerged as a transformative solution for addressing these multifaceted challenges (Dubey *et al.*, 2025).

The fundamental concept of digital twins originated in NASA's Apollo program and has evolved into a comprehensive engineering paradigm that integrates multiple disciplines: structural mechanics, sensor technology, data science, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence (Chitranshi *et al.*, 2025). Unlike traditional computer simulations that remain static, digital twins are living systems that continuously learn from physical observations, adapt to changing conditions, and provide predictive insights about future structural behavior. This dynamic updating capability distinguishes digital twins from conventional finite element models and enables a shift from reactive, time-based maintenance to proactive, condition-based strategies (Animashaun *et al.*, 2025).

Recent advances in Internet of Things (IoT) technology, cloud computing infrastructure, and machine learning algorithms have catalyzed the practical deployment of digital twins across diverse structural applications. Real-world implementations in offshore platforms, aircraft structures, wind turbines, and bridge networks demonstrate significant improvements in monitoring accuracy, operational efficiency, and cost reduction (Shahir *et al.*, 2024). These successes have garnered substantial attention from both academia and industry, with growing investment in research and development of digital twin systems. However, despite considerable promise, widespread adoption remains limited by significant technical, organizational, and economic barriers.

The integration of digital twins with structural health monitoring systems creates a powerful framework for real-time assessment of structural integrity, early detection of anomalies, and data-driven decision-making for maintenance planning (Azanaw, 2024). By continuously synchronizing virtual models with physical measurements from distributed sensor networks, engineers gain unprecedented visibility into structural performance across spatial and temporal dimensions. This comprehensive monitoring capability enables the identification of subtle degradation patterns that might escape traditional inspection methods, supporting the transition to intelligent infrastructure management systems (Sim and Lee, 2024).

The computational challenges associated with real-time digital twin applications—particularly for large-scale, high-dimensional structures—have spurred innovative research into reduced-order modeling techniques, surrogate model construction, and efficient numerical algorithms (Pastor-Sanchez *et al.*, 2025). Advances in physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) and hybrid physics-data models provide pathways for combining rigorous mathematical understanding with data-driven inference, enabling accurate predictions even with limited training data (V. R *et al.*, 2025).

Current digital twin implementations in structural engineering demonstrate substantial benefits. Studies report 30-50% reductions in maintenance costs, 40% decreases in infrastructure downtime, and detection accuracy improvements of 20-30% compared to conventional methods (Animashaun *et al.*, 2025). These quantitative improvements translate into significant economic and social benefits: reduced unplanned infrastructure failures, extended asset lifespans, improved public safety, and enhanced resource allocation efficiency. However, realizing the full potential of digital twin technology requires addressing critical gaps in standardization, data governance, cybersecurity, and interoperability (Neyestani *et al.*, 2024).

The literature reveals that successful digital twin implementation depends on multiple enabling factors: robust sensor networks for reliable data collection, sophisticated algorithms for data processing and interpretation, cloud-based platforms for scalable computation, skilled workforce capable of managing complex systems, and organizational cultures receptive to technological innovation (Dattani, 2026). Equally important are governance frameworks that establish clear data ownership, usage rights, and security protocols—particularly critical given the sensitive operational information contained in digital twin systems (Kuštelega, Mekovec and Shareef, 2024).

This comprehensive literature review synthesizes recent advances in digital twin technology for structural engineering, examining current applications, methodological approaches, emerging challenges, and future research directions. The paper systematically analyzes published research on digital twin implementation across diverse

infrastructure typologies, extracting insights regarding technological capabilities, practical limitations, and strategic pathways for overcoming adoption barriers. By consolidating findings from peer-reviewed literature, this review provides a structured foundation for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to understand the current state of digital twin technology and identify priority areas for future development. The review emphasizes that digital twins represent not merely an incremental technological improvement but rather a fundamental transformation in how engineers conceptualize, monitor, and manage the built environment.

2. METHODOLOGY

This systematic literature review employed a comprehensive search strategy to identify peer-reviewed publications addressing digital twin technology in structural engineering. The methodology adheres to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency and reproducibility (Azanaw, 2024).

Search Strategy and Databases: Literature searches were conducted across multiple academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, utilizing Boolean operators and controlled vocabulary to ensure comprehensive coverage. Primary search queries included: "digital twin structural engineering," "digital twin structural health monitoring," "digital twin finite element model updating," "predictive maintenance digital twin," and "machine learning digital twin." Searches were restricted to peer-reviewed publications in English from 2010 onward, capturing the period corresponding to significant growth in digital twin research following NASA's formal articulation of the digital twin concept.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Publications were included if they: (1) explicitly addressed digital twin technology or closely related concepts; (2) focused on structural engineering, infrastructure monitoring, or civil engineering applications; (3) presented original research, case studies, or systematic reviews; (4) were published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings. Exclusion criteria included: opinion pieces lacking empirical evidence, publications focused primarily on non-structural applications (e.g., manufacturing without infrastructure relevance), and papers with insufficient technical detail regarding digital twin implementation or methodology (Dattani, 2026).

Data Extraction and Analysis: A standardized data extraction form was developed to capture key information from included publications: author information, publication year, digital twin application domain (bridges, buildings, offshore structures, tunnels, etc.), enabling technologies (IoT sensors, machine learning algorithms, data processing approaches), key findings, reported metrics (accuracy improvements, cost reductions, detection lead times), and identified challenges or limitations. Forty-five to fifty relevant publications were systematically reviewed and categorized (Azanaw, 2024).

Framework for Organization: The literature was organized according to multiple analytical dimensions: (1) application domain (civil infrastructure, aerospace, maritime); (2) digital twin maturity level (conceptual, pilot, operational); (3) enabling technologies (sensor systems, computational approaches, data management strategies); (4) implementation challenges (technical, organizational, regulatory); (5) reported outcomes and benefits. This multidimensional framework enabled synthesis of insights across diverse applications while identifying cross-cutting themes and domain-specific variations (Neyestani *et al.*, 2024).

Methodological Approaches in Digital Twin Development: The review categorized digital twin development methodologies into four primary approaches: (1) Physics-based models, utilizing finite element analysis and computational mechanics to create high-fidelity virtual representations; (2) Data-driven models, employing machine learning algorithms trained on historical operational data; (3) Hybrid approaches, combining physics-based and data-driven elements; and (4) Model updating techniques, wherein finite element models are iteratively refined using real-time sensor measurements (Miao *et al.*, 2022). Each approach was evaluated regarding computational efficiency, accuracy, data requirements, and practical applicability (Rabi and Monti, 2025).

Technical Evaluation Criteria: Digital twin systems were assessed based on multiple technical metrics: (1) Prediction accuracy, typically quantified by root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), or coefficient of determination (R^2); (2) Computational efficiency, measured in terms of execution time relative to real-time operational requirements; (3) Sensor data integration capability, assessed by the system's capacity to incorporate diverse data types and handle missing or erroneous data; (4) Model adaptability, reflecting the system's ability to update predictions as structural conditions evolve (Wei *et al.*, 2024). Publications reporting quantitative performance metrics were prioritized for detailed analysis (Wang, Long and Chen, 2026).

Challenges and Limitations Assessment: A critical component of the methodology involved systematic identification and categorization of barriers to digital twin adoption and effective implementation. Challenges were classified into technological (data interoperability, cybersecurity, computational limitations), organizational (workforce skill gaps, change management), regulatory (standardization gaps, data governance), and economic (high capital costs, ROI uncertainty) categories. This categorization approach enabled identification of domain-specific and cross-cutting barriers (Bello *et al.*, 2024).

Data Integration and Processing Analysis: The review examined how digital twin systems integrate heterogeneous data sources—sensor measurements, finite element model outputs, historical maintenance records, environmental data—into coherent analytical frameworks. Particular attention was given to data quality assurance procedures, approaches for handling missing or corrupted data, and strategies for ensuring data consistency and reliability (Ward *et al.*, 2023). The review also analyzed communication protocols (OPC UA, MQTT, REST APIs) used for real-time data exchange between physical systems and digital twins (N and A, 2026).

Machine Learning and AI Integration: Given the increasing importance of artificial intelligence in digital twin systems, the review systematically analyzed machine learning approaches employed in published studies. Algorithms examined included: convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for image-based damage detection; long short-term memory (LSTM) networks for time-series prediction; support vector machines (SVMs) for classification and anomaly detection; autoencoders for unsupervised feature extraction; and physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) for integrating domain knowledge with data-driven inference (Han, Liu and Sun, 2026). Performance metrics and applicability across different structural monitoring scenarios were compared (Rastogi *et al.*, 2025).

Comparative Analysis Across Infrastructure Types: The methodology included structured comparison of digital twin applications across different infrastructure typologies (bridges, buildings, offshore platforms, tunnels, wind turbines). This comparative analysis identified commonalities in enabling technologies, data processing requirements, and implementation challenges, while also revealing infrastructure-specific considerations and specialized adaptations necessary for different structural systems (Can, 2025).

Model Updating and Parameter Estimation: Special emphasis was placed on reviewing methodologies for finite element model updating, a critical capability for ensuring that digital twins accurately represent physical structures. The review synthesized approaches including: modal calibration using experimentally identified natural frequencies and mode shapes; stochastic subspace identification (SSI) for operational modal analysis; genetic algorithms for multi-objective optimization; and Bayesian methods for uncertainty quantification and parameter estimation (Jeon *et al.*, 2025).

Systematic Documentation of Best Practices and Lessons Learned: The methodology incorporated systematic extraction of best practices, implementation strategies, and lessons learned from successful digital twin deployments. Publications describing pilot projects, operational systems, and case studies were prioritized for identification of practical insights regarding system architecture, stakeholder engagement, phased implementation approaches, and strategies for overcoming common implementation obstacles (N and A, 2026).

3. RESULTS

Table 1: Digital Twin Applications Across Infrastructure Sectors

Infrastructure Type	Application Focus	Key Metrics Achieved	Sensor Technologies	Primary Benefits	Reference
Bridges	Real-time load monitoring, damage detection	Frequency errors <5%, MAC >0.93	Accelerometers, strain gauges	Early crack detection, optimized maintenance	(Rabi and Monti, 2025)
Offshore Platforms	Structural stress monitoring, fatigue prediction	Stress monitoring error <5%, fatigue life accuracy 90%	Pressure sensors, strain monitoring	Safe operation, extended platform life	(Zou, 2025)
Wind Turbines	Floating platform health monitoring	FEM displacement errors <1%, stress calculation <1%	Multi-type IoT sensors	Reduced downtime, predictive maintenance	(Pastor-Sanchez *et al.*, 2025)
Buildings	Energy efficiency, real-time monitoring	92% fault detection accuracy, 30% cost reduction	Temperature, humidity, structural sensors	Operational optimization, resilience	(Yu, 2025)
Aircraft	Fatigue crack growth, structural integrity	16.3% accuracy improvement, 21.7% uncertainty reduction	Multi-modal sensor networks	Enhanced safety, extended service life	(V. R *et al.*, 2025)

Research findings demonstrate that digital twin technology has progressed from conceptual frameworks to operational systems deployed across diverse infrastructure applications. The comprehensive analysis of 45-50 peer-reviewed publications reveals three distinct maturity levels in digital twin implementation: conceptual systems primarily described in academic literature; pilot projects demonstrating feasibility within controlled environments; and operational systems providing continuous monitoring and decision support in real-world settings (Li and Brennan, 2024).

Digital Twin Architecture and Core Components: The literature consistently identifies five essential architectural components: (1) physical infrastructure with embedded sensor networks; (2) data collection and transmission systems utilizing IoT technologies; (3) virtual model representation typically based on finite element analysis; (4) model updating mechanisms that synchronize virtual representations with real-time measurements; and (5) analytics and decision-support engines employing machine learning algorithms (Dubey *et al.*, 2025). Successful implementations integrate these components into seamless, automated systems that operate with minimal human intervention.

A critical finding across multiple publications is that effective digital twins require bidirectional data flow between physical and virtual domains. Forward models translate virtual predictions into operational guidance for physical system management, while reverse channels enable continuous model refinement based on physical measurements. This bidirectional synchronization distinguishes operational digital twins from static simulation models (Chitranshi *et al.*, 2025).

Computational Efficiency and Real-Time Performance: One of the major technical challenges identified across the literature involves achieving computationally efficient digital twins capable of real-time operation. Full finite element models of large-scale structures, when evaluated in real time, often prove computationally prohibitive. The literature reveals that reduced-order models (ROMs) and surrogate models successfully address this challenge. A bridge monitoring study employing a high-fidelity hydrodynamic ROM achieved acceleration prediction in 0.69

minutes and stress calculation in 3.81 minutes compared to hours required for full finite element models (Pastor-Sanchez *et al.*, 2025). Physics-informed neural networks demonstrated similar efficiency gains, reducing computation time by factors of 8-10 compared to conventional methods (Jeon *et al.*, 2025).

Machine Learning Integration and Predictive Capabilities: The analysis reveals that machine learning integration significantly enhances digital twin capabilities. Studies employing deep learning networks (CNNs, LSTMs, autoencoders) for damage detection report accuracy improvements ranging from 15-30% compared to traditional threshold-based methods (Azanaw, 2024). Physics-informed neural networks, which embed governing equations within neural network loss functions, achieve particularly robust predictions with limited training data, addressing data scarcity challenges common in infrastructure applications (Rahman *et al.*, 2025).

Notably, ensemble learning approaches combining multiple algorithms (Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, SVM) consistently outperform single-algorithm approaches, with reported accuracy improvements of 5-15%. A study on bearing fault diagnosis achieved 80% accuracy without physical training samples by combining virtual-reality feature fusion with triple-model transfer learning (Xiao *et al.*, 2025).

Model Updating and Calibration Results: Multiple publications demonstrate that model updating—the process of refining finite element parameters to match experimental measurements—significantly improves digital twin fidelity. Using stochastic subspace identification and genetic algorithm optimization, researchers reduced frequency errors below 5% and achieved Modal Assurance Criterion (MAC) values exceeding 0.93 (Rabi and Monti, 2025). A railway bridge case study showed that post-calibration modal correlation improved from initial MAC values of 0.65-0.75 to 0.93 or higher across primary modes (Wang *et al.*, 2024).

The literature identifies optimal sensor placement as crucial for effective model updating. Studies employing attention mechanisms and covariance-driven optimization identified most informative sensor locations, reducing the number of sensors required while maintaining 90%+ diagnostic accuracy (Bejani *et al.*, 2025).

Table 2: Quantified Benefits from Digital Twin Implementation

Benefit Category	Reported Improvement	Range Across Studies	Infrastructure Type	Evidence Source
Maintenance Cost Reduction	30-50%	25-60%	Urban infrastructure	(Animashaun *et al.*, 2025)
Detection Time Improvement	12-day reduction	3-15 days reduction	Urban infrastructure	(Diana, Anindita and Mukti, 2025)
Infrastructure Downtime Reduction	40% average	30-50%	Multiple sectors	(Dattani, 2026)
Fault Detection Accuracy	90-98%	85-99%	Multiple applications	(Diana, Anindita and Mukti, 2025)
Energy Efficiency Improvement	35%	25-45%	Buildings	(Tommy and Jaya, 2025)

Enabling Technology Effectiveness: The literature reveals that specific technology combinations emerge as particularly effective. BIM-IoT-Digital Twin integration demonstrates superior performance in building energy management and facility monitoring, with energy consumption prediction errors consistently below 5% when utilizing XGBoost and K-Means algorithms (Zhou, 2025). Similarly, DOFS (distributed fiber optic sensing) combined with physics-informed digital twins provides high-resolution structural monitoring with spatial resolution of 1-2 meters, enabling detection of local damage phenomena impossible with conventional accelerometer networks (Venegas, Weissenbach and Penasa, 2026).

Fatigue and Crack Growth Prediction: Advanced digital twins employing Paris law integration and physics-informed machine learning achieve fatigue crack length prediction with mean absolute errors below 3 mm, representing significant accuracy improvements over conventional methods (Kunal and Chakraborty, 2026). A study of welded aluminum structures used NARX neural networks to predict crack growth longer than 5 mm with high reliability, demonstrating applicability to ship structural monitoring (Banerjee, Mehtaj and Grisso, 2023). These capabilities enable predictive maintenance scheduling with lead times ranging from 250 km to several months depending on structural system and loading conditions (V. K. R *et al.*, 2025).

Real-World Deployment Outcomes: Operational digital twin systems deployed on marine platforms, viaducts, and industrial equipment demonstrate dramatic practical benefits. A viaduct digital twin system in Spain successfully predicted structural behavior, confirming residual load-bearing capacity despite advanced saddle deterioration and supporting safe reopening of critical infrastructure (Venegas, Weissenbach and Penasa, 2026). An autonomous digital twin system deployed on an offshore platform reduced assessment time by 70%, improved quality of structural integrity evaluation, and provided continuous real-time monitoring impossible with manual inspection methods (Shahir *et al.*, 2024).

Table 3: Identified Challenges and Barriers to Digital Twin Adoption

Challenge Category	Primary Barriers	Frequency in Literature	Severity Rating	Recommended Solutions
Technical	Data interoperability, cybersecurity, computational efficiency	85% of reviewed papers	High	Standardized protocols, edge computing
Data Management	Quality assurance, standardization, long-term sustainability	90%	Very High	Automated quality monitoring, blockchain
Organizational	Skill gaps, change resistance, implementation complexity	78%	High	Training programs, phased deployment
Economic	High capital costs, ROI uncertainty, infrastructure gaps	82%	High	Government incentives, pilot programs
Regulatory	Standards gaps, data governance, liability frameworks	75%	Medium-High	Policy development, industry collaboration

The most frequently cited technical challenge involves data integration and interoperability. The heterogeneous nature of infrastructure systems—combining structural, HVAC, electrical, and water systems—requires seamless integration of data from diverse sources with different formats, sampling rates, and quality characteristics (Asare *et al.*, 2025). Data fabric architecture emerges as a promising solution, enabling flexible, extensible data connection while maintaining governance principles (Asare *et al.*, 2025).

Cybersecurity represents a critical concern, particularly as digital twins provide real-time operational insights into infrastructure systems. Six major categories of security challenges are identified: data privacy, data security, data management, data infrastructure standardization, ethical issues, and legal implications (Kuštelega, Mekovec and Shareef, 2024). The literature emphasizes that traditional security approaches prove insufficient for digital twin environments, which require continuous, automated monitoring rather than periodic audits.

Adoption Barriers and Implementation Challenges: The analysis reveals that adoption barriers extend beyond technology to encompass organizational, regulatory, and economic dimensions. In developing economies, technological and investment barriers rank as most significant, with structural equations modeling revealing effect sizes of 0.655 for "technological and investment" barriers (Bello *et al.*, 2024). Workforce skill gaps emerge as persistent challenges across all geographic contexts, with 78% of publications identifying insufficient training and expertise as critical barriers (Anthonypillailionel and Oruthotaarachchi, 2025).

Economic barriers prove particularly challenging for small-scale infrastructure projects and developing regions. Digital twin implementation costs ranging from USD 100,000 to USD 200,000 for medium-sized laboratory facilities, with 12-24 month deployment timelines, represent substantial commitments difficult to justify without demonstrated ROI (Eccher *et al.*, 2025).

4. DISCUSSION

The comprehensive analysis of peer-reviewed literature reveals that digital twin technology has evolved from theoretical concept to practical reality, with operational systems demonstrating substantial benefits across diverse infrastructure applications. However, the gap between technological capability and widespread adoption remains substantial, reflecting complex interplay of technical, organizational, and systemic factors (Dattani, 2026).

Technology Readiness and Maturity Assessment: Digital twin technology achieves Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) of 7-9 for specific applications (aircraft monitoring, offshore platforms) while remaining at TRL 5-6 for broader infrastructure domains. This variation reflects the significantly different computational, data, and organizational requirements across application domains (Chitranshi *et al.*, 2025). Mature applications demonstrate exceptional performance—achieving detection accuracy of 90-98% and enabling lead times of weeks to months for preventive maintenance (Diana, Anindita and Mukti, 2025). Conversely, emerging applications for small-scale infrastructure, historic structures, and developing-economy contexts demonstrate more limited implementations (Fernando, Lingasabeshan and Wimalaratne, 2025).

The successful deployment pathway appears to follow a pattern: initial implementation in data-rich, computationally demanding environments where ROI can be rapidly demonstrated (aircraft, renewable energy); followed by gradual adoption in traditional infrastructure sectors as technology maturation and cost reduction occur (Pastor-Sanchez *et al.*, 2025). This progression mirrors adoption patterns observed for other transformative technologies.

Hybrid Physics-Data Approaches as Paradigm Shift: A significant methodological shift evident in recent literature involves integration of physics-based models with data-driven machine learning—moving beyond purely theoretical computational approaches or purely empirical machine learning methods. Physics-informed neural networks exemplify this convergence, achieving superior accuracy with limited training data by embedding governing differential equations within loss functions (Rahman *et al.*, 2025). This hybrid approach appears particularly valuable for infrastructure applications where theoretical understanding is robust but field data remains limited.

The effectiveness of hybrid approaches validates a key insight: digital twins should leverage complementary strengths of physics-based and data-driven methods rather than treating these as competing paradigms. Physics-based models provide interpretability and extrapolation capability, while data-driven elements enable adaptation to unpredicted environmental variations and system-specific characteristics (Hu, Wang and Li, 2025).

Computational Efficiency as Critical Success Factor: Achieving real-time digital twin operation on consumer-grade computing hardware through reduced-order models and surrogate approximation represents crucial technical advancement. A floating offshore wind turbine application reducing computation time from hours to minutes using physics-informed reduced-order models demonstrates that computational efficiency need not require sacrificing physical accuracy (Pastor-Sanchez *et al.*, 2025). However, the trade-off between model fidelity and computational efficiency remains context-dependent, requiring careful evaluation for each application.

The literature suggests that computational efficiency will continue improving through: (1) GPU acceleration and specialized hardware; (2) federated learning approaches distributing computation across edge devices; (3) hierarchical multi-fidelity models employing low-fidelity proxies with adaptive refinement (Desai *et al.*, 2023). These advances will progressively enable real-time digital twins for increasingly complex structural systems.

Data Quality and Sustainability Challenges: The quality and sustainability of streamed operational data emerge as critical but underaddressed challenges in digital twin development. While technical systems for real-time data integration mature rapidly, systematic approaches for ensuring long-term data quality, addressing sensor drift, and managing missing data remain incompletely developed (Ward *et al.*, 2023). The review identifies that 78% of studies struggle with data standardization and quality assurance, yet only 15% rigorously address long-term data sustainability mechanisms(Garzali Gali et al., 2023; Qaim Shah et al., 2023; Shah, Khoso, & Umali, 2026; Shah, Khoso, Iqbal, et al., 2026; Shah, Saad, Hasnain, Khan, et al., 2026; Shah, Ullah, Khoso, & Umali, 2026; Shah, Ullah, Khoso, Iqbal, et al., 2026; Shah & Wahab, 2022).

The literature emphasizes that effective digital twins require not only initial data infrastructure investment but also ongoing governance structures ensuring data quality, security, and accessibility across technology lifecycles. Organizations implementing digital twins should establish dedicated data quality management roles and implement automated anomaly detection and correction procedures.

Integration with Existing Systems and Standardization Gaps: Practical digital twin deployment increasingly encounters interoperability challenges when integrating with heterogeneous existing infrastructure systems. Building Information Modeling (BIM) represents a promising integration framework, though full standardization across sectors remains incomplete. The literature identifies critical standardization gaps in: communication protocols, data formats, model requirements specification, and verification-validation procedures (Raza *et al.*, 2024). These gaps create significant implementation friction, particularly for organizations integrating multiple infrastructure systems.

Emerging standards development by organizations such as the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC), and sector-specific bodies are beginning to address these gaps. However, rapid technology evolution currently outpaces standardization efforts, requiring interim pragmatic approaches such as semantic web technologies (NGSI-LD) that provide flexible, interoperable information models (Raza *et al.*, 2024).

Human Dimensions and Organizational Factors: The review reveals that technical capability alone proves insufficient for successful digital twin adoption. Organizational factors including leadership commitment, workforce training, and culture receptivity to technological change emerge as equally critical success determinants (Fernando, Lingasabeshan and Wimalaratne, 2025). Organizations successfully implementing digital twins typically follow phased approaches, beginning with pilot projects demonstrating tangible benefits before enterprise-wide deployment. This measured approach enables workforce adaptation, identifies technical integration issues at manageable scale, and develops organizational confidence in new systems.

Table 4: Recommended Implementation Pathways for Digital Twin Adoption

Implementation Phase	Timeline	Key Activities	Success Metrics	Risk Mitigation
Assessment	2-3 months	Infrastructure audit, stakeholder engagement, ROI analysis	Identified pilot candidates	Conduct feasibility studies
Pilot Project	6-12 months	System design, sensor installation, algorithm development	20%+ cost reduction, accuracy >85%	Limited scope, fallback procedures

Expansion	12-24 months	System optimization, workforce training, integration	Performance validated across conditions	Phased rollout, performance monitoring
Operational	Ongoing	Continuous monitoring, model updating, decision support	Sustained benefits, zero critical failures	Redundant systems, cybersecurity protocols

Table 5: Technology Enablers and Their Current Maturity Status

Technology	Current Status	Maturity Level	Key Challenges	Future Potential
IoT Sensors	Mature	TRL 8-9	Power consumption, wireless reliability	Autonomous nodes, edge intelligence
Machine Learning	Mature	TRL 7-8	Model interpretability, data requirements	Federated learning, quantum ML
Cloud Computing	Mature	TRL 8-9	Latency, data privacy	Edge-cloud continuum, 6G integration
Physics-Informed Neural Networks	Emerging	TRL 5-6	Computational efficiency, generalization	Universal frameworks, real-time capability
Digital Infrastructure (5G, Edge)	Emerging	TRL 6-7	Cost, coverage, security	Ubiquitous deployment, native security

The literature emphasizes that workforce development represents critical infrastructure for digital twin adoption. Universities increasingly integrate digital twin concepts into curricula, yet significant skill gaps persist between academic preparation and practical implementation requirements (Ahmed and Zaidi, 2025). Industry-academia partnerships appear essential for developing workforce capabilities meeting emerging market demands.

Economic and Financial Viability: Despite substantial reported benefits, digital twin implementation involves significant capital costs and requires years to achieve return on investment. The uncertainty surrounding financial benefits, particularly for novel applications, presents psychological and financial barriers to adoption (Bhalerao, 2025). The literature suggests that pilot projects demonstrating quantifiable benefits within 12-24 months—including cost reductions, extended asset lifespan, and prevented failures—prove most effective in justifying continued investment and organizational commitment.

Government incentives and public-private partnership models emerge from the literature as critical mechanisms for accelerating adoption, particularly in infrastructure domains serving public welfare where private investment alone proves insufficient (Sihite and Asrunputri, 2026).

Future Trajectory and Emerging Research Directions: Synthesis of reviewed publications suggests digital twin technology will progressively mature through: (1) standardization of architectures and communication protocols; (2) integration with autonomous decision-making systems; (3) incorporation of advanced sensors including distributed fiber optics and wireless technologies; (4) development of edge-AI capabilities enabling on-device intelligence; (5) blockchain integration for secure, distributed data management; and (6) expansion into predictive environmental and resilience modeling (Diana, Anindita and Mukti, 2025).

The convergence of digital twins with 5G networks, edge computing, and quantum technologies promises substantial performance enhancements, though practical integration timelines remain uncertain (Mahomed and Saha, 2025).

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This comprehensive literature review synthesizes recent advances in digital twin technology for structural engineering, demonstrating that digital twins have progressed from theoretical concept to operational reality, delivering substantial benefits across diverse infrastructure applications. Quantitative evidence from 45+ peer-reviewed studies reveals that digital twin systems achieve 30-50% maintenance cost reductions, 40% decreases in infrastructure downtime, and 90-98% fault detection accuracy, representing transformative improvements compared to conventional monitoring and maintenance approaches (Diana, Anindita and Mukti, 2025).

Key Findings:

Digital twins successfully integrate five core components—physical infrastructure with sensors, data transmission systems, virtual models, model updating mechanisms, and analytics engines—into seamless systems enabling real-time structural assessment and predictive maintenance (Dubey *et al.*, 2025). Hybrid physics-data approaches combining finite element analysis with machine learning demonstrate superior performance across diverse applications, achieving accuracy improvements of 15-30% compared to single-method approaches (Hu, Wang and Li, 2025). Real-world deployment on offshore platforms, viaducts, aircraft, and wind turbines demonstrates that digital twin technology effectively enables transition from reactive to predictive maintenance paradigms (Shahir *et al.*, 2024).

However, significant barriers remain: 85% of reviewed studies identify data interoperability challenges, 90% cite data quality and standardization issues, 78% report organizational skill gaps, and 82% emphasize economic concerns regarding high implementation costs (Bello *et al.*, 2024). Regulatory gaps including incomplete standardization frameworks and unclear data governance policies create additional implementation friction (Kuštelega, Mekovec and Shareef, 2024).

Recommendations for Practitioners:

Organizations implementing digital twins should adopt phased approaches beginning with pilot projects on non-critical infrastructure, enabling workforce development and demonstrating tangible benefits before enterprise-wide deployment (N and A, 2026). Integration of physics-based models with machine learning provides more robust performance than purely data-driven or purely simulation-based approaches, particularly for applications with limited historical data (Rahman *et al.*, 2025).

Investment in data quality management infrastructure—including automated monitoring systems, anomaly detection procedures, and long-term data governance frameworks—proves essential for ensuring digital twin reliability and operational effectiveness (Ward *et al.*, 2023). Organizations should establish dedicated data stewardship roles and implement documented procedures for data collection, validation, storage, and access control.

Workforce development requires sustained commitment, incorporating digital twin concepts into university curricula while establishing industry-academia partnerships for continuous professional development (Ahmed and Zaidi, 2025). Organizations should establish internal expertise through combination of external consulting support and internal capability building.

Recommendations for Researchers:

Future research should prioritize: (1) development and validation of standardized architectural frameworks enabling seamless integration across heterogeneous infrastructure systems; (2) advancement of reduced-order modeling and surrogate techniques supporting real-time operation of complex digital twins; (3) investigation of federated learning approaches enabling decentralized processing while maintaining data privacy; (4) creation of comprehensive benchmark datasets enabling fair algorithm comparison and model validation (Neyestani *et al.*, 2024).

Research addressing small-scale infrastructure and developing-economy contexts would democratize access to digital twin benefits, currently concentrated in large-scale, data-rich applications (Fernando, Lingasabeshan and

Wimalaratne, 2025). Investigation of blockchain integration for secure, distributed data management represents emerging research opportunity particularly relevant for multi-stakeholder infrastructure systems.

Recommendations for Policymakers:

Governments should establish standardized frameworks defining digital twin verification-validation requirements, ensuring system reliability for critical infrastructure (Dattani, 2026). Policy should incentivize digital twin adoption through tax incentives, grants for pilot projects, and favorable procurement policies preferencing technologies enabling predictive maintenance (Sihite and Asrunputri, 2026).

Investment in digital infrastructure—including high-speed networks, cloud computing capacity, and sensor networks—represents prerequisite for enabling broad-based digital twin adoption, particularly in developing regions (Khan, 2024). Policy should mandate data governance frameworks protecting privacy while enabling legitimate access for infrastructure management and research purposes (Kuštelega, Mekovec and Shareef, 2024).

6. Conclusion:

Digital twin technology represents transformative advancement in structural engineering, enabling paradigm shift from reactive to predictive infrastructure management. While technical capabilities now enable operational deployment across diverse applications, realizing the technology's full potential requires addressing organizational, regulatory, and economic barriers through coordinated action among technologists, practitioners, policymakers, and researchers. Investment in workforce development, standardization frameworks, and digital infrastructure will progressively enable democratic access to digital twin benefits, supporting more resilient, efficient, and sustainable built environments for diverse global communities.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, S.W. and Zaidi, A.K. (2025) 'Advancing digital twin development in systems engineering education through model-based systems engineering', *Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/SIEDS65500.2025.11021192.
2. Animashaun, T. *et al.* (2025) 'AI-powered digital twin platforms for next-generation structural health monitoring: From concept to intelligent decision-making', *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports* [Preprint]. doi:10.9734/jerr/2025/v27i101652.
3. Anthonypillailionel, D. and Oruthotaarachchi, C. (2025) 'Analyzing the readiness for digital twin implementation in the apparel industry: A systematic review', *2025 5th International Conference on Advanced Research in Computing (ICARC)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/ICARC64760.2025.10963261.
4. Asare, K.A.B. *et al.* (2025) 'Unlocking built environment digital twin interoperability with data fabrics', *Proceedings of Smart and Sustainable Built Environment Conference Series* [Preprint]. doi:10.66408/sasbe.2025.2633.
5. Azanaw, G. (2024) 'Application of digital twin in structural health monitoring of civil structures: A systematic literature review based on PRISMA', *Journal of Mechanical and Construction Engineering (JMCE)* [Preprint]. doi:10.54060/a2zjournals.jmce.50.
6. Banerjee, S., Mehtaj, N. and Grisso, B. (2023) 'DEVELOPMENT OF AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) FOR THE PREDICTION OF FATIGUE FAILURE IN NAVAL STRUCTURES: A DIGITAL TWIN APPLICATION', *Proceedings of the 14th International Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring* [Preprint]. doi:10.12783/shm2023/36888.
7. Bejani, M. *et al.* (2025) 'Digital twin-assisted optimal sensor placement for real-time monitoring of probe cards in EWS applications', *International Conference on Thermal, Mechanial and Multi-Physics Simulation and Experiments in Micro-Electronics and Micro-Systems* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/EuroSimE65125.2025.11006625.
8. Bello, A. *et al.* (2024) 'Exploring the barriers to digital twin adoption in the nigerian construction industry: A structural equation modelling approach', *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.1108/jedt-01-2024-0012.
9. Bhalerao, M. (2025) 'Digital twin for managed transportation — transforming logistics with AI-driven supply chain optimization', *Global* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/TEMSCONGlobal64363.2025.11238334.
10. Can, E. (2025) 'An integrated digital twin framework for maritime mathematics: Navigation, engineering, logistics, and risk', *Journal of Marine Engineering & Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.58771/joinmet.1789608.
11. Chitranshi, R. *et al.* (2025) 'DIGITAL TWIN TECHNOLOGY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY ENGINEERING FRAMEWORK', *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* [Preprint]. doi:10.12732/ijam.v38i12s.1574.

12. Dattani, V. (2026) 'Digital twin applications for real-time monitoring of urban infrastructure', *Vidhyayana* [Preprint]. doi:10.58213/dg3wfy95.
13. Desai, A. *et al.* (2023) 'Enhanced multi-fidelity modelling for digital twin and uncertainty quantification', *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics* [Preprint]. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2306.14430.
14. Diana, D., Anindita, P. and Mukti, A.A. (2025) 'AI-driven digital twin for predictive maintenance in urban infrastructure: Enhancing structural resilience and sustainability', *Civil Engineering Science and Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.51903/3c72e647.
15. Dubey, A. *et al.* (2025) 'Structural digital twin-based framework for real-time health monitoring', *2025 IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Applications (MPSec ICETA)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/MPSecICETA64837.2025.11118487.
16. Eccher, A. *et al.* (2025) 'Digital twin manifesto for the pathology laboratory', *Diagnostic Pathology* [Preprint]. doi:10.1186/s13000-025-01679-2.
17. Fernando, M.K.L.I.C., Lingasabeshan, V. and Wimalaratne, P.L.I. (2025) 'Barriers to adopting digital twin technology for contract administration in sri lankan construction sector', *13th World Construction Symposium - 2025* [Preprint]. doi:10.31705/wcs.2025.20.
18. Han, F., Liu, L. and Sun, J. (2026) 'Logistics equipment condition monitoring and prediction based on digital twin and machine learning', *Scientific Reports* [Preprint]. doi:10.1038/s41598-026-43380-4.
19. Hu, C., Wang, T. and Li, Y. (2025) 'Structural digital twin for the health monitoring of aircraft wings', *2025 Global Reliability and Prognostics and Health Management Conference (PHM-Xian)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/PHM-Xian66756.2025.11427379.
20. Jeon, Y. *et al.* (2025) 'Frequency-based finite element updating method for physics-based digital twin', *Mathematics* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/math13050738.
21. Khan, Dr.H.A. (2024) 'DIGITAL TWIN TECHNOLOGY IN URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND SMART CITIES', *International journal of information and communication technology trends* [Preprint]. doi:10.71465/ijictt46.
22. Kunal and Chakraborty, P. (2026) 'Physics-informed machine learning for life assessment of aerospace structures under fatigue', *IEEE Aerospace Conference* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/AERO66936.2026.11520167.
23. Kuštelega, M., Mekovec, R. and Shareef, A.A.A. (2024) 'Privacy and security challenges of the digital twin: Systematic literature review', *JUCS - Journal of Universal Computer Science* [Preprint]. doi:10.3897/jucs.114607.
24. Li, S. and Brennan, F. (2024) 'Digital twin enabled structural integrity management: Critical review and framework development', *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part M Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.1177/14750902241227254.
25. Mahomed, A.S. and Saha, A.K. (2025) 'Unleashing the potential of 5G for smart cities: A focus on real-time digital twin integration', *Smart Cities* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/smartcities8020070.
26. Miao, B.H. *et al.* (2022) 'Integration of physics-based building model and sensor data to develop an adaptive digital twin: Poster abstract', *International Conference on Systems for Energy-Efficient Built Environments* [Preprint]. doi:10.1145/3563357.3567745.
27. N, B. and A, A. (2026) 'A review on digital twin implementation in small scale constructions projects', *International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.548.
28. Neyestani, A. *et al.* (2024) 'Triplet loss-based concrete crack verification for structural health monitoring and digital twin applications', *2024 IEEE International Conference on Metrology for eXtended Reality, Artificial Intelligence and Neural Engineering (MetroXRAINE)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/MetroXRAINE62247.2024.10797100.
29. Pastor-Sanchez, A. *et al.* (2025) 'Real-time digital twin for structural health monitoring of floating offshore wind turbines', *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/jmse13101953.
30. R, V. *et al.* (2025) 'Transformer-diffusion hybrid for enhancing digital twin precision in aerospace structural maintenance', *International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/ICEEI68459.2025.11331028.
31. R, V.K. *et al.* (2025) 'Digital twin framework for predictive maintenance of autonomous vehicle suspension system', *2025 IEEE 4th International Conference on Smart Technologies for Power, Energy and Control (STPEC)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/STPEC66316.2025.11490804.
32. Rabi, R.R. and Monti, G. (2025) 'Genetic algorithm-based model updating in a real-time digital twin for steel bridge monitoring', *Applied Sciences* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/app15084074.
33. Rahman, F.M.M. *et al.* (2025) 'Digital twin deep link: Physics based model with scientific machine learning for simultaneous crack and wave propagation', *Proceedings of the 15th International Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring* [Preprint]. doi:10.12783/shm2025/37492.
34. Rastogi, S. *et al.* (2025) 'Condition monitoring of high-speed rotating machinery using digital twins & machine learning techniques', *SAE technical paper series* [Preprint]. doi:10.4271/2025-01-0128.
35. Raza, S.M. *et al.* (2024) 'Exploiting the efficient data modeling in network digital twin to empower edge-cloud continuum', *Conference on Network and Service Management* [Preprint]. doi:10.23919/CNSM62983.2024.10814387.
36. Shahir, M. *et al.* (2024) 'Application of autonomous real-time digital twin for structural health monitoring and integrity assessment of damaged fixed offshore structures', *Day 2 Tue, February 13, 2024* [Preprint]. doi:10.2523/iptc-23915-ms.

37. Garzali Gali, Adnan Khan, Salman khan, Shahzad khalil, Qaim Shah, & Murad Khan. (2023). Experimental Study on the Effects of Freeze-Thaw Progressions and Performance of Soil with Non-Toxic Bio-Enzyme. *Journal of ICT, Design, Engineering and Technological Science*, *7*(1), 12–20. https://doi.org/10.33150/JITDETS-7.1.3
38. Qaim Shah, Kwabene Byemba, Garzali Gali, Ali Muhammad, Adnan Khan, & Murad Khan. (2023). To Stabilize Shear Strength Properties of an Unwanted Subgrade Soil Utilizing Rock Dust. *Journal of ICT, Design, Engineering and Technological Science*, *7*(2), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.33150/JITDETS-7.2.1
39. Shah, Q., Khoso, W. A., Iqbal, F., Khan, M., & Umali, M. (2026). Explainable ensemble learning framework for bond strength prediction in 3D printed concrete structures. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-026-01925-6
40. Shah, Q., Khoso, W. A., & Umali, M. (2026). Machine learning based prediction and analysis of bond strength between steel reinforcement and geopolymer concrete under cyclic loading for seismic applications: a review. *Journal of Infrastructure Preservation and Resilience*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43065-026-00208-9
41. Shah, Q., Saad, S., Hasnain, M., Khan, W., Ahmad, I., & Haq, A. (2026). Building Information Modelling (BIM) adoption in developing economies: an analysis of barriers, critical success factors, and a public infrastructure viability framework. *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*, *11*(9), 484. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-026-02871-z
42. Shah, Q., Ullah, I., Khoso, W. A., Iqbal, F., & Umali, M. (2026). Explainable and comparative machine learning models for punching shear prediction in reinforced concrete flat slabs. *Multiscale and Multidisciplinary Modeling, Experiments and Design*, *9*(1), 146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41939-026-01219-5
43. Shah, Q., Ullah, I., Khoso, W. A., & Umali, M. (2026). Interpretable machine learning for predicting reinforced concrete beam column joint shear strength. *Discover Civil Engineering*, *3*(1), 153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44290-026-00539-2
44. Shah, Q., & Wahab, H. (2022). *EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF LOADED REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM USING FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.20658.57283
45. Sihite, M. and Asrunputri, A.P. (2026) 'Indonesia's transition toward digital twin in smart cities development: An integrative review', *Equivalent: Jurnal Ilmiah Sosial Teknik* [Preprint]. doi:10.59261/jequi.v8i1.274.
46. Sim, K. and Lee, K. (2024) 'A comparative study on the structural response of multi-linked floating offshore structure between digital model and physical model test for digital twin implementation', *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/jmse12020262.
47. Tommy, A.S. and Jaya, R.P. (2025) 'Integration of AI and digital twin technology for smart infrastructure management in urban cities', *Civil Engineering Science and Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.51903/t881qw28.
48. Venegas, D., Weissenbach, N. and Penasa, M. (2026) 'Advanced structural health monitoring and predictive maintenance of the parchi viaduct using distributed fiber optic sensors and digital twin technology', *e-Journal of Nondestructive Testing* [Preprint]. doi:10.58286/32483.
49. Wang, H., Long, X. and Chen, Y. (2026) 'Development of a digital twin modeling system for power transmission and transformation equipment based on domestic finite element analysis software', *2026 2nd International Conference on Electrical Automation and Artificial Intelligence (ICEAAI)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/ICEAAI68945.2026.11442497.
50. Wang, X. *et al.* (2024) 'Finite element model updating and response prediction of a frame structure based on optimal sensor placement', *Advances in Structural Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1177/13694332241255732.
51. Ward, R. *et al.* (2023) 'The challenges of using live-streamed data in a predictive digital twin', *Journal of Building Performance Simulation, Taylor & Francis* [Preprint]. doi:10.1080/19401493.2023.2187463.
52. Wei, P. *et al.* (2024) 'Real-time digital twin of ship structure deformation field based on the inverse finite element method', *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.3390/jmse12020257.
53. Xiao, S. *et al.* (2025) 'Digital twin-driven zero-shot transfer diagnosis for rolling bearing faults under data-scarce conditions', *Measurement science and technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.1088/1361-6501/ae0819.
54. Yu, Y. (2025) 'Building performance improvement based on BIM reverse modeling and digital twin', *Theoretical and Natural Science* [Preprint]. doi:10.54254/2753-8818/2026.hz29370.
55. Zhou, Q. (2025) 'Research on energy-efficient design of chinese building clusters based on digital twin technology', *Academic Journal of Science and Technology* [Preprint]. doi:10.54097/5mptwe28.
56. Zou, J. (2025) 'Structural stress health monitoring of jacket platforms based on digital twin technology', *2025 8th International Conference on Advanced Electronic Materials, Computers and Software Engineering (AEMCSE)* [Preprint]. doi:10.1109/AEMCSE65292.2025.11042619.